

# Putative relation gaps

Autor(en): **Bridson, Martin R. / Tweedale, Michael**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **54 (2008)**

Heft 1-2

PDF erstellt am: **23.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-109884>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## 15

### PUTATIVE RELATION GAPS

by Martin R. BRIDSON and Michael TWEEDALE

Given  $\Gamma = \langle \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ , the action of the free group  $F(\mathcal{A})$  by conjugation on  $R = \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$  induces an action of  $\Gamma$  on the abelian group  $M = R/[R, R]$ . It is obvious that the rank of  $M$  as a  $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma$ -module serves as a lower bound on the minimal number of relators that one requires to present  $\Gamma$  on the generators  $\mathcal{A}$ . This lower bound seems so crude that one cannot imagine it would be sharp in general. And yet, despite sustained attack over many years, not a single example has been established to lend substance to this intuition. The question of whether or not there exists such an example has become known as the *relation gap problem*.

The problem has its origins in the early work of Karl Gruenberg and others on relation modules in the 1960s and 1970s; it first seems to have appeared in print in [5] and occurs twice in different guises in Wall's famous problem list [10], though the name does not enter the literature until 1993 [7]. It belongs to a circle of notoriously hard problems concerning the homotopy properties of finite 2-complexes — the Andrews–Curtis conjecture, Whitehead's asphericity conjecture, the Eilenberg–Ganea conjecture, and the question (resolved by M. Bestvina and N. Brady [1]) of finite presentability versus  $\text{FP}_2$ .

To clarify our convictions regarding the relation gap problem we state

**CONJECTURE 15.1.** *There exist finite group presentations with arbitrarily large relation gaps.*

This conjecture is closely related to the  $D(2)$  conjecture: if a group  $\Gamma$  with  $H^3(\Gamma; \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) = 0$  admits a presentation that both realizes the group's deficiency and has a relation gap, then the  $D(2)$  conjecture is false, i.e. there exists a finite 3-complex that looks homologically like a 2-complex, in the sense that it possesses Wall's property  $D(2)$ , but that does not have the homotopy type

of a finite 2-complex. (This result is due to M. Dyer; a published proof can be found in J. Harlander's survey article [8].)

In the remainder of this note, we'll describe two families of groups and indicate why we think that they ought to have relation gaps, making explicit conjectures to that effect. The two families are of a very different nature: the first consists of groups with finite classifying spaces, based on the Bestvina–Brady construction; the second is comprised of virtually free groups and it is the nature of the torsion that dictates the key features of the relation module that we believe lead to a relation gap.

#### CYCLIC COVERINGS AND RIGHT-ANGLED ARTIN GROUPS

Let  $\Sigma$  be a connected flag complex with non-trivial, perfect fundamental group, and let  $G$  be the associated right-angled Artin group. This group has a presentation with generating set the vertices  $v_i$  of  $\Sigma$ , and defining relations asserting that two generators commute if and only if the corresponding vertices in  $\Sigma$  are joined by an edge. Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be the homomorphism sending each  $v_i$  to a fixed generator. The kernel of  $\pi$  is the *Bestvina–Brady group*  $H_\Sigma$ , which is  $\text{FP}_2$  but not finitely presented [1].

Let  $\Gamma_n \subset G$  be the index  $n$  subgroup  $\pi^{-1}(n\mathbf{Z})$ . Notice that  $H_\Sigma$  is the intersection of the  $\Gamma_n$ . We construct in [2] a presentation for  $\Gamma_n$  where the generators  $S_n$  are indexed by the vertices of  $\Sigma$  and the  $\mathbf{Z}\Gamma$ -rank of the relation module is bounded independently of  $n$ .

**CONJECTURE 15.2.** *The number of relators needed to present  $\Gamma_n$  on the generators  $S_n$  goes to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\Gamma_n$  has a relation gap for  $n$  sufficiently large.*

#### SOME VIRTUALLY FREE EXAMPLES

We consider groups similar in spirit to ones considered by D. Epstein [4], C. Hog-Angeloni, W. Metzler and M. Lustig [9], and more recently by K. Gruenberg and P. Linnell [6].

Given letters  $x_m$  and  $t_m$ , let  $\rho_m$  be the word

$$\rho_m = (t_m x_m t_m^{-1}) x_m (t_m x_m^{-1} t_m^{-1}) x_m^{-m}.$$

We look at the groups  $\Gamma_{m,n} = Q_m * Q_n$ , where

$$Q_m = \langle x_m, t_m \mid \rho_m, x_m^{m-1} \rangle$$

and  $(m^{m-1} - 1)$  and  $(n^{n-1} - 1)$  are coprime.

One of the main attractions we see in these new examples is that one can give a short, transparent and natural proof [3] that the relation module of the obvious presentation of  $\Gamma_{m,n}$  can be generated by three elements, namely the images of  $\rho_m$ ,  $\rho_n$  and  $x_m^{m-1}x_n^{n-1}$ .

The groups  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{m,n}$  are virtually free, so  $H^3(\Gamma; \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) = 0$ . Thus one could find both a relation gap and a counterexample to the  $D(2)$  conjecture simply by solving the following concrete problem:

CONJECTURE 15.3. *The kernel of the map  $F_4 \rightarrow \Gamma$  associated to the presentation*

$$\Gamma = \langle x_m, t_m, x_n, t_n \mid \rho_m, x_m^{m-1}, \rho_n, x_n^{n-1} \rangle$$

*is not the normal closure of three elements.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BESTVINA, M. and N. BRADY. Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups. *Invent. Math.* 129 (1997), 445–470.
- [2] BRIDSON, M.R. and M. TWEEDALE. Constructing presentations of subgroups of right-angled Artin groups. Preprint arXiv: math.GR/0709.0690 (2007).
- [3] BRIDSON, M.R. and M. TWEEDALE. Deficiency and abelianized deficiency of some virtually-free groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 143 (2007), 257–264.
- [4] EPSTEIN, D.B.A. Finite presentations of groups and 3-manifolds. *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. (2)* 12 (1961), 205–212.
- [5] GRUENBERG, K. *Relation Modules of Finite Groups*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 25. Amer. Math. Soc., 1976.
- [6] GRUENBERG, K. and P. LINNELL. Generation gaps and abelianised defects of free products. Preprint arXiv: math.GR/0701225 (2007). To appear in *J. Group Theory*.
- [7] HARLANDER, J. Solvable groups with cyclic relation module. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 90 (1993), 189–198.
- [8] ———. Some aspects of efficiency. In: *Groups–Korea '98: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Theory of Groups Held at Pusan National Univ., Pusan, Korea*. Walter de Gruyter, 2000, 165–180.
- [9] HOG, C., M. LUSTIG and W. METZLER. Presentation classes, 3-manifolds and free products. In: *Geometry and Topology (College Park, Md., 1983/84)*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1167. Springer-Verlag, 1985, 154–167.

- [10] WALL, C. T. C. (ed.). List of problems. In: *Homological Group Theory (Proc. Sympos., Durham, 1977)*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 36. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1979, 369–394.

Martin R. Bridson

Department of Mathematics  
Imperial College  
London SW7 2AZ  
United Kingdom  
*e-mail*: m.bridson@imperial.ac.uk

Michael Tweedale

Department of Mathematics  
University of Bristol  
Bristol BS8 1TW  
United Kingdom  
*e-mail*: m.tweedale@bristol.ac.uk

Current address:

Mathematical Institute  
24–29 St Giles'  
Oxford OX1 3LB  
United Kingdom  
*e-mail*: bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk