Zeitschrift:	Helvetica Physica Acta
Band:	23 (1950)
Heft:	V
Artikel:	Uranium fission cross section measurement for thermal neutrons
Autor:	Facchini, U. / Gatti, E.
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-112122

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

Download PDF: 17.05.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Uranium fission cross section measurement for thermal neutrons

by U. Facchini and E. Gatti. Laboratori CISE Milano (Italia).

(7. VI. 1950.)

Introduction. The measurement is made using a comparative method.

The ratio between Uranium fission cross section and Lithium cross section of the $\text{Li}^6(\mathbf{n}\cdot\alpha)$ H³ reaction for thermal neutrons is determined. The measurement is made exposing a number of LiF and U layers to a constant flux of thermal neutrons in an ionization chamber and comparing the number of fission products emitted by Uranium with the number of α and H³ particles emitted by Lithium. The number of Uranium nuclei present in each U layer is deduced by counting the number of α particles emitted by each layer, whilst the number of Lithium nuclei is found by accurately weighing the LiF layers.

1. — The electron collecting ionization chamber is provided with a grid (fig. 1). The chamber is filled with argon at 5 atm, which is purified by circulation over metal calcium heated at 300° C. The amplifier employed is of the 100 (1) type with rise time of about 0,6 microseconds.



Ionisation chamber.
① Layer. ② Grid. ③ Collector. ④ Gard ring. ⑤ Rubber.
⑥ Lucite. ⑦ Gas flow.

Figs. 2 and 3 show the distribution of Uranium α pulses and Lithium α and H³ pulses obtained by means of a one-channel pulse analyser. Besides being a test of the chamber performance, the above mentioned curves were used in order to cheek the selfabsorption and back-scattering corrections. The counting is performed by integral measurements at suitable values of the bias voltage.

2. — The chamber is sourrounded by paraffin wax: the neutrons source (0.5 g Ra mixed with Be) is placed about 10 cm below the chamber. A 10 cm layer of Pb is placed between the source and the chamber to reduce the γ background.





The contribution of fast and epithermal neutrons has been taken into account by repeating measurements with a 0.8 mm cadmium shielding surrounding the chamber.

3. — The U layers were prepared by Dr. ZIMMER (2) of our Chemical Laboratory following an electrolytic method on steel disks.

The LiF layers were prepared by vacuum evaporation also on steel supports, using very pure LiF.

The weighs of LiF layers was determined by accurately weighing the support before and after evaporation by a microchemical balance. Another support not submitted to evaporation was used in order to cheek the calibration of the balance; this caution was taken because the two mentioned different weighs were taken at about an hour interval from each other, which is the time required for LiF layer preparation.

Care was taken in order to dry the supports and to keep them dry during evaporation and weighing processes.

4. — The thickness of the chosen layers was small enough to minimize absorption for the particles emitted from the layer itself.



 α and H³ from Li⁶ (n, α) H³ reaction, spectral distribution curve.

The absorption is evaluated together with the correction due to back-scattering of particles over the support, using for U α particles the formula (3)

$$n_{0} = \frac{2 n(E)}{1 - d/4 \left(\frac{1}{R_{I} - R(E)} + \frac{1}{R_{II} - R(E)}\right) + 0.4 \Phi(E)}$$

where n_0

= total number of particles emitted

 $egin{aligned} n(E) &= ext{total number of counts at bias voltage corresponding to} & \ & ext{energy } E \ d &= ext{thickness of the layer in mg/cm}^2 \ & R_{I} ext{ and } R_{II} = ext{range of } U_{I} ext{ and } U_{II}, lpha ext{ particles} \ & R(E) &= ext{range of } E ext{ energy } lpha ext{ particles} \ & 0,4 \ arphi (E) &= ext{back-scattering term} \end{aligned}$

and analogous formulae in the other cases.

558

The counts were made at various energies i. e. at various bias voltages of the integral discriminator. The volt-energy calibration was made using the amplitude distribution curve of α U pulses for U and of α and H³ pulses for LiF (e. g. figs 2 and 3). The R-E curves in air were used for the Li particles; for the U α particles the R-E in Pb curves; for the U fission products the R-Ecurves in air, reduced by means of the mean stopping power air- U_3O_8 (4).

 $\Phi(E)$ was calculated for α of U and for α and H³ of Li following the method used by Crawford (5). In the case of U fission products, $\Phi(E)$ was neglected.

The absorption corrections were 1% for Uranium and 3-8% for Lithium at different counting energies; the back-scattering corrections about 1,5%.

5. — In the table (1) (2) are set down the results obtained for five LiF layers and two U layers.

Orahium tayers.						
Number of the layer	α counts per minute	Fissions counts per minute	Fissions per mg of U per minute			
U Nº 1 U Nº 6	$\begin{array}{r} {\bf 2327} \\ {\bf 3385.4} \pm 118 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.738 \pm 0.026 \\ 1.077 \pm 0.024 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4763 \pm 0.017 \\ 0.4778 \pm 0.010 \end{array}$			

Table 1.

Uranium layers.

Average value = $0,4774 \pm 1,9\%$.

Tal	ole	2.

LiF	layers.
	v

Number of the	Weight of LiF	Fission counts	Fissions per mg
layer	mg	per minute	of LiF per min.
Li Nº 1 Li Nº 2 Li Nº 3 Li Nº 4 Li Nº 5	$egin{array}{r} 3.55 \pm 0.04 \ 1.29 \pm 0.025 \ 2.05 \pm 0.1 \ 2.08 \pm 0.1 \ 2.08 \pm 0.1 \ 2.08 \pm 0.1 \ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 267.30 \pm 2.2 \\ 92.90 \pm 1 \\ 148.30 \pm 1.4 \\ 136.50 \pm 1.1 \\ 149.40 \pm 1.6 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 75.30 \pm 1 \ 72.00 \pm 1.6 \ 72.34 \pm 3.6 \ 65.62 \pm 3.3 \ 71.82 \pm 3.6 \end{array}$

Average value = $73.52 \pm 1.2\%$.

The values of the countings made at different energies were averaged and corrected as above mentioned; they were, moreover, corrected for the contribution of epithermal and fast neutrons, that is, they are the result of the difference between the values obtained without and with Cd around the chamber. The value given by KIENBERGER (6): $1502 \pm 1^{\circ}/_{00}$ was assumed as the number of α per mg of U per minute.

6. — Assuming the law $\sigma = \sigma_0 v_0/v$ for the fission thermal cross section of U the ratio σ_U/σ_{Li} is given by the formula:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm U}}{\sigma_{\rm Li}} = \frac{N_{\rm U}}{N_{\rm LiF}} \frac{P_{\rm U}}{P_{\rm LiF}}$$

where $\sigma_{\rm U}$ = fission cross section of U

 $\sigma_{\rm Li} = (n, \alpha) \text{ cross section of Li}$

 $N_{\rm U}~=$ number of uranium fissions per mg of U per min

 $N_{\rm LiF} =$ number of Li fissions per mg of LiF per min

 $P_{\rm U}$ = atomic weigh of U

 $P_{\rm LiF} = {
m molecular}$ weigh of LiF

Calculating with the tabulated values for $N_{\rm U}$ and $N_{\rm Li}$ we get

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm U}}{\sigma_{\rm Li}} = 0.0596 \pm 2.5\%$$

assuming $\sigma_{\rm Li}/\sigma_{\rm boron} = 0.0948 \pm 0.0013$ (7) and referring it to the values $\sigma_{\rm boron} = 703$ barns (8) at the neutron speed of 2200 m/sec, i. e. $\sigma_{\rm Li} = 66.6 \pm 2\%$ barns we get $\sigma_{\rm U} = 3.97 \pm 0.12$ barns and for the U²³⁵ isotope $\sigma_{\rm U}^{235} = 551 \pm 17$ barns.

We notice that on the ratio σ_U/σ_{Li} the error of 2.5% accounts for the statistic errors in the countings, the errors in weighing the LiF layers, and the errors in the corrections which influence the final error by 3–4% only. For the σ_U the error resulting is of 3% accounting for a 2% uncertanity of σ_{Li} .

A more detailed description of the measurement is under publication by "Il Nuovo Cimento".

References.

¹) W. ELMORE and M. SANDS, Electronics-Experimental Techniques (McGraw Hill, 1949).

²) E. ZIMMER, in printing.

³) Rossi and Staub, Ionisation Chambers and Counters (McGraw Hill, 1949).

⁴) E. SEGRÈ and C. WIEGAND, Phys. Rev. 70, 808 (1946).

⁵) G. SEABORG, J. KATZ und W. MANNING, The transuranium Elements, part II, pag. 1307 (McGraw Hill, 1949).

⁶) F. FENNING, G. GRAHAM and H. SELIGMAN, Can. Journ. of Res. sect. A 25, 73 (1947).

⁷) KIENBERGER, Phys. Rev. 76, 1561 (1949).

⁸) E. FERMI, J. MARSHALL and L. MARSHALL, Phys. Rev. 72, 193 (1947).