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# The regular external field problem in quantum electrodynamics ${ }^{1}$ ) 

by M. Klaus and G. Scharf<br>Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Zürich, Schönberggasse 9, CH-8001 Zürich, Switzerland

(2.VII.1977)

Abstract. The quantized electron-positron field in interaction with an external classical static electromagnetic field is considered. The external potential is restricted in such a way that a dressed vacuum and dressed electron-positron states exist in Fock space. In this case the Furry picture has a mathematically well-defined meaning. A large class of such regular external fields is found which, however, contains no static magnetic field.

## 1. Introduction

One of the simplest systems of quantum electrodynamics is the quantized electron-positron field interacting with an external classical electromegnetic field. Although the physics of this system is well understood and rather simple, the mathematical character of the theory is not at all trivial and requires a careful investigation.

We will consider the system described by the formal Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{\text {formal }}=\int d^{3} x: \psi^{+}(\mathbf{x}, t)\left(-i \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+m \beta+e V(\mathbf{x})\right) \psi(\mathbf{x}, t): \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the electron-positron field operator satisfying equal-time Fermi anti-commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\psi_{a}(\mathbf{x}, t), \psi_{b}^{+}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t\right)\right\}_{+}=\delta_{a b} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) ; \quad a, b=1, \ldots, 4 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with all other anticommutators vanishing. $\mathbb{H}_{\text {formal }}(1.1)$ as it stands is not a well-defined operator in Fock space. Nevertheless, the Heisenberg equation of motion derived from (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t}=-i\left(-i \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+m \beta+e V(\mathbf{x})\right) \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes sense and is immediately solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(f, t)=\psi\left(e^{i H t} f\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(f, t)=\int d^{3} x f_{a}(\mathbf{x})^{*} \psi_{a}(\mathbf{x}, t), f_{a} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]is the spacially smeared out field operator and
$\psi(f)=\psi(f, 0)$
is the initial value at time $t=0, H$ is the one-particle Dirac operator in $\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)^{4}$.
We are now interested in the question whether there exists a selfadjoint Hamiltonian $\mathbb{H}$ in Fock space (some renormalized version of (1.1)) which generates the time evolution automorphism (1.4) as an inner automorphism
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(e^{i H t} f\right)=e^{i H t} \psi(f) e^{-i H t} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It has been shown by Bongaarts [1] that $\mathbb{H}$ exists if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+}^{0} e^{-i H t} P_{-}^{0} \in \text { H.S. } \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator (H.S.) in $\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)^{4}$ for all $t$, where $P_{ \pm}^{0}$ are the projection operators on the positive and negative part of the spectrum of the free Dirac operator $H_{0}$, respectively (see next section). This condition can be satisfied probably only with scalar potentials (see Section 4). For this reason, we have restricted ourselves to scalar external potentials from the very beginning (1.1). Bongaarts gives no construction of the renormalized Hamiltonian $\mathbb{H}$. On the other hand, Friedrichs [2] long ago constructed such a $\mathbb{H}$ under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+} P_{-}^{0} \in \text { H.S. }, \quad P_{+}^{0} P_{-} \in \text { H.S. } \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{ \pm}$are now the projections on the positive and negative spectral part of the Dirac operator $H$ with potential. The conditions (1.9) imply (1.8), and if (1.9) holds the method of Friedrichs gives a very simple construction of the renormalized Hamiltonian $\mathbb{H}$. Very likely the conditions (1.8) and (1.9) are actually equivalent. We have proven this at the moment only for a restricted class of external potentials.

The condition (1.9), or the equivalent one

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+}-P_{+}^{0} \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{S} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the more direct physical meaning. It guarantees the existence of a dressed vacuum and dressed electron-positron states in Fock space, that means, the Furry picture is mathematically well-defined. This will be discussed in Section 3. Most results of this section have been obtained by several authors [2, 3, 4, 5], so that our contribution is merely the clarification of some details and certain simplifications of the proofs. In Section 4 , we discuss the class of regular potentials which is defined by the property that condition (1.10) is fulfilled. Our characterization of this class is not complete in the sense that we do not know the most general condition on the potential implying (1.10). The physical consequences of these results are discussed in the following section. It turns out that the existence of the dressed states enables one to construct the renormalized Hamiltonian and charge density operators and give a rigorous discussion of the vacuum polarization.

## 2. Preliminaries

The free Dirac operator

$$
H_{0}=-i \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+m \beta, \quad \alpha_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma_{k}  \tag{2.1}\\
\sigma_{k} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \beta=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

gives rise to a self-adjoint operator in $\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)^{4}$. Its spectral decomposition is most conveniently described in terms of the (generalized) eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})=u_{s}(\mathbf{p}) e^{i \mathbf{p} \mathbf{x}}, \quad v_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})=v_{s}(\mathbf{p}) e^{-i \mathbf{p} \mathbf{x}} \quad s= \pm 1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{s}(\mathbf{p})=\sqrt{\frac{E+m}{2 E}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\chi_{s} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\
E+m
\end{array}\right) \chi_{s} \\
v_{s}(\mathbf{p})=\sqrt{\frac{E+m}{2 E}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E+m} \chi_{\chi_{s}}\right.
\end{array}\right) \chi_{s} .
$$

They satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0} u_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})=E u_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) \\
& H_{0} v_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})=-E v_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the orthogonality relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{s}(\mathbf{p})^{+} u_{s^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p})=\delta_{s s^{\prime}}=v_{s}(\mathbf{p})^{+} v_{s^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p}) \\
& u_{s}(\mathbf{p})^{+} v_{s^{\prime}}(-\mathbf{p})=v_{s}(\mathbf{p})^{+} u_{s^{\prime}}(-\mathbf{p})=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \in\left(L^{2}\right)^{4}$ let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\Phi}_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s)=(2 \pi)^{-3 / 2} \text { l.i.m. } \int d^{3} x u_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})^{+} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \hat{\Phi}_{-}(\mathbf{p}, s)=(2 \pi)^{-3 / 2} \text { l.i.m. } \int d^{3} x v_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})^{+} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the spectral projection $P^{0}(\Omega)$ for a Borel set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \subset(-\infty, m] \cup[m,+\infty) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(P^{0}(\Omega) \Phi\right)(\mathbf{x})=(2 \pi)^{-3 / 2} \text { l.i.m. }\left[\int_{\Omega_{+}} d^{3} p \Phi_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s) u_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{\Omega_{-}} d^{3} p \hat{\Phi}_{-}(\mathbf{p}, s) v_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{ \pm}=\left\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid \pm E(\mathbf{p}) \in \Omega\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have the eigenfunction expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\mathbf{x})=(2 \pi)^{-3 / 2} \text { l.i.m. } \int d^{3} p\left[\hat{\Phi}_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s) u_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})+\Phi_{-}(\mathbf{p}, s) v_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines a unitary transformation of $\left(L^{2}\right)^{4}$ onto itself, completely analogous to the Fourier transformation in ordinary $L^{2}$. The first member on the right-hand side of (2.11) is the projection $P_{+}^{0} \Phi$ on the electron subspace, the second member $P_{-}^{0} \Phi$ on the positron subspace.

Similar results are true for the Dirac operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{0}+V(\mathbf{x}) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a large class of static scalar potentials which contains the class of potentials we are going to consider in the following. Also in this case, the spectral projections $P(\Omega)$ can be expressed in terms of eigenfunctions $u_{s}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}), v_{s}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})$ as (2.9) [6], with the only difference that in addition to the continuous spectrum (2.8) there are in general discrete eigenvalues, and we have no explicit expression for the eigenfunctions like (2.3). The Fourier transform analogous to (2.11) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\mathbf{x})=(2 \pi)^{-3 / 2} \text { l.i.m. } \int d p\left[\tilde{\Phi}_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s) u_{s}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})+\tilde{\Phi}_{-}(\mathbf{p}, s) v_{s}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})\right] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int d p$ is a short notation for $\int d^{3} p$ and a possible sum over the discrete eigenvalues.

Let us now construct the Fock space $\mathscr{F}$ using

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{1}=\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)^{4}
$$

as the one-particle subspace. To have a concise notation we write

$$
p=(\mathbf{p}, s, \varepsilon)
$$

where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ distinguishes the electron and positron subspaces (2.7). The $n$-particle subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{n}$ then consists of functions $\Phi_{n}\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n}\right) \in\left(\left(L^{2}\right)^{4}\right)^{\otimes n}$, antisymmetric in the arguments $p_{j}=\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}, s_{j}, \varepsilon_{j}\right) \cdot \mathfrak{y}_{n}$ contains electron and positron states together, only the total number of particles $(=n)$ is specified. The Fock space $\mathscr{F}$ then is

$$
\mathscr{F}=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{h}_{n}
$$

with $\mathfrak{h}_{0}=\mathbb{C}$.
The symbolic absorption and emission operators are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(b_{s}(\mathbf{p}) \Phi\right)_{n}\left(p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right)=\sqrt{n+1} \Phi_{n+1}\left(\mathbf{p}, s, 1 ; p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right) \\
&\left(d_{s}(\mathbf{p}) \Phi\right)_{n}\left(p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right)=\sqrt{n+1} \Phi_{n+1}\left(\mathbf{p}, s,-1 ; p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right) \\
&\left(b_{s}^{+}(\mathbf{p}) \Phi\right)_{n}\left(p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-)^{j-1} \delta\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_{j}\right) \delta_{s s_{j}} \delta_{s \varepsilon_{j}} \\
& \Phi_{n-1}\left(p_{s} \cdots \mathbf{p}_{j} \phi_{j} \phi_{j} \cdots p_{n}\right) \\
&\left(d_{s}^{+}(\mathbf{p}) \Phi\right)_{n}\left(p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-)^{j-1} \delta\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_{j}\right) \delta_{s s_{j}} \delta_{-1 \varepsilon_{j}} \\
& \Phi_{n-1}\left(p_{s} \cdots \mathbf{p}_{j} k_{j} \phi_{j} \cdots p_{n}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the crossed out arguments $p_{j}$ have to be omitted. If $f(x) \in\left(L^{2}\right)^{4}$ is in $P_{+}^{0} \mathfrak{y}_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
b\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right) & =\int d^{3} p \hat{f}_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s)^{*} b_{s}(\mathbf{p}) \\
b^{+}\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right) & =\int d^{3} p \hat{f}_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s) b_{s}^{+}(\mathbf{p})=b\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right)^{+} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

are well-defined (even bounded) operators in $\mathscr{F}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(b\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right) \Phi\right)_{n}\left(p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right)=\sqrt{n+1} \int d^{3} p \hat{f}_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s)^{*} \Phi_{n+1}(\mathbf{p}, s, 1, \ldots) \\
&\left(b^{+}\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right) \Phi\right)_{n}\left(p_{s} \cdots p_{n}\right)= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-)^{j-1} \delta_{1 \varepsilon_{j}} \hat{f}_{+}\left(\mathbf{p}_{j}, s_{j}\right) \\
& \Phi_{n-1}\left(p_{s} \cdots p_{j} \phi_{j} \phi_{j} \cdots p_{n}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly for

$$
\begin{align*}
d^{+}\left(\hat{f}_{-}\right) & =\int d^{3} p \hat{f}_{-}(\mathbf{p}, s)^{*} d_{s}^{+}(\mathbf{p}) \\
d\left(\hat{f}_{-}\right) & =\int d^{3} p f_{-}(\mathbf{p}, s) d_{s}(\mathbf{p}) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

They satisfy the anti-commutation rules

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{b\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right), b^{+}\left(\hat{g}_{+}\right)\right\}_{+}=\left(\hat{f}_{+}, \hat{g}_{+}\right) \\
& \left\{d^{+}\left(\hat{f}_{-}\right), d\left(\hat{g}_{-}\right)\right\}_{+}=\left(\hat{f}_{-}, \hat{g}_{-}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

with all other anti-commutators vanishing.
The field operators smeared out in space are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(f) & =b\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right)+d^{+}\left(\hat{f}_{-}\right) \\
\Psi^{+}(f) & =b^{+}\left(\hat{f}_{+}\right)+d\left(\hat{f}_{-}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

which corresponds to the formal expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi(f)=\int d^{3} x f_{a}^{*}(x) \Psi_{a}(x) \\
& \Psi(x)=(2 \pi)^{-3 / 2} \int d^{3} p\left[u_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) b_{s}(\mathbf{p})+v_{s}^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) d_{s}^{+}(\mathbf{p})\right] \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. The dressed electron-positron states

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}_{1}=\mathfrak{h}_{+}^{0} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{-}^{0}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{ \pm}^{0}=P_{ \pm}^{0} \mathfrak{h}_{1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the decomposition of $\mathfrak{h}_{1}$ into the electron and positron subspaces defined by the
free Dirac operator $H_{0}$ and similarly let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}_{1}=\mathfrak{h}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{-}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{ \pm}=P_{ \pm} \mathfrak{h}_{1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the decomposition defined by the positive and negative spectral parts of $H$ (2.12). We call $\mathfrak{h}_{ \pm}$the dressed electron and positron subspaces, respectively, $\mathfrak{h}_{ \pm}^{0}$ are the bare electron and positron subspaces. For $f(x) \in \mathfrak{h}_{+}$we now define the dressed emission and absorption operators by

$$
\begin{align*}
b^{\prime}\left(\tilde{f}_{+}\right) & =b\left(P_{+}^{\hat{0}} f\right)+d^{+}\left(P^{\hat{0}} f\right) \\
b^{\prime+}\left(\tilde{f}_{+}\right) & =b^{\prime}\left(\tilde{f}_{+}\right)^{+} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly for $f(x) \in \mathfrak{h})_{-}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
d^{\prime+}\left(\tilde{f}_{-}\right)=b\left(P_{+}^{0} f\right)+d^{+}\left(P_{-}^{0} f\right) \\
d^{\prime}\left(\tilde{f}_{-}\right)=d^{\prime+}\left(\tilde{f}_{-}\right)^{+} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}_{+}(\mathbf{p}, s) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{ \pm}$is the Fourier-Dirac transform of $f(2.13)$ defined by $H$. From (2.18) we get canonical anti-commutation rules for the dressed operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{b^{\prime}\left(\tilde{f}_{+}\right), b^{\prime+}\left(\hat{g}_{+}\right)\right\}=\left(\tilde{f}_{+}, \tilde{g}_{+}\right) \\
& \left\{d^{\prime+}\left(\tilde{f}_{-}\right), d^{\prime}\left(\tilde{g}_{-}\right)\right\}=\left(\tilde{f}_{-}, \tilde{g}_{-}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (2.19) the decomposition of the field operators $\Psi(f)$ for arbitrary $f(x) \in\left(L^{2}\right)^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\prime}\left(\tilde{f}_{+}\right)+d^{\prime+}\left(\tilde{f}_{-}\right)=b\left(P_{+}^{\hat{0}} f\right)+d^{+}\left(P_{-}^{\hat{0}} f\right)=\Psi(f) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of free fields (2.20), the dressed operators can be expressed in terms of operator-valued distributions $b_{s}^{\prime}(\mathbf{p}), d_{s}^{\prime}(\mathbf{p})$ etc.

It is convenient, for what follows, to write the linear transformation (3.3), (3.4) in matrix notation. Let $\varphi_{+}^{j}(\mathbf{p}, s), \varphi_{-}^{k}(\mathbf{p}, s)$ be a complete orthonormal system in $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{ \pm}$and $\varphi_{0+}^{j}(\mathbf{p}, s), \varphi_{0-}^{k}(\mathbf{p}, s)$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{ \pm}^{0}$ respectively (which are all separable Hilbert spaces). Introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}^{\prime}=b^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{+}^{j}\right), \quad d_{k}^{+}=d^{+}\left(\varphi_{-}^{k}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for the bare operators, we can write (3.3), (3.4) as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{j}^{\prime} & =\left(\varphi_{+}^{j}, \varphi_{0+}^{k}\right) b_{k}+\left(\varphi_{+}^{j}, \varphi_{0-}^{k}\right) d_{k}^{+} \\
d_{i}^{\prime+} & =\left(\varphi_{-}^{j}, \varphi_{0+}^{k}\right) b_{k}+\left(\varphi_{-}^{j}, \varphi_{0-}^{k}\right) d_{k}^{+} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The anti-commutation rules (3.4) take the familiar form

$$
\left\{b_{j}^{\prime}, b_{k}^{\prime+}\right\}=\delta_{j k}, \quad\left\{d_{j}^{\prime+}, d_{k}^{\prime}\right\}=\delta_{j k}
$$

and 0 otherwise. The matrix

$$
W=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\varphi_{+}^{j}, \varphi_{0+}^{k}\right) & \left(\varphi_{+}^{j}, \varphi_{0-}^{k}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
\left(\varphi_{-}^{j}, \varphi_{0+}^{k}\right) & \left(\varphi_{-}^{j}, \varphi_{0-}^{k}\right)
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
W_{1} & W_{2} \\
W_{3} & W_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

occurring in (3.8) can be considered as a unitary operator in $\mathfrak{h}_{1}$. This follows from the properties

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W_{1} W_{1}^{+}+W_{2} W_{2}^{+}=1 & W_{3} W_{3}^{+}+W_{4} W_{4}^{+}=1 \\
W_{1} W_{3}^{+}+W_{2} W_{4}^{+}=0 & W_{1}^{+} W_{2}+W_{3}^{+} W_{4}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{array}
$$

and we have the additional relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}^{+} W_{1}+W_{3}^{+} W_{3}=1 \quad W_{2}^{+} W_{2}+W_{4}^{+} W_{4}=1 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{4}$ are considered as transformations on the following subspaces

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W_{1}: \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{+}^{0} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{+} & W_{2}: \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{-}^{0} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{+} \\
W_{3}: \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{+}^{0} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{-} & W_{4}: \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{-}^{0} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{-}^{0} . \tag{3.12}
\end{array}
$$

These mappings can be trivially extended to the whole of $\mathfrak{b}_{1}$. Omitting ${ }^{\wedge}$ and ${ }^{\sim}$ from now on, because only the $p$-space is used in the further discussion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{W}_{1} f=\left(\varphi_{+}^{j}, \varphi_{0+}^{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{0+}^{k}, f\right) \varphi_{+}^{j}=\left(\varphi_{+}^{j}, P_{+}^{0} f\right) \varphi_{+}^{j}=P_{+} P_{+}^{0} f \\
& \bar{W}_{2} f=\left(\varphi_{+}^{j}, \varphi_{0-}^{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{0-}^{k}, f\right) \varphi_{+}^{j}=P_{+} P_{-}^{0} f \\
& \bar{W}_{3} f=\left(\varphi_{-}^{j}, \varphi_{0+}^{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{0+}^{k}, f\right) \varphi_{-}^{j}=P_{-} P_{+}^{0} f \\
& \bar{W}_{4} f=\left(\varphi_{-}^{j}, \varphi_{0-}^{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{0-}^{k}, f\right) \varphi_{-}^{j}=P_{-} P_{-}^{0} f, f \in \mathfrak{h}_{1}, \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the bar denotes the extended transformations on $\mathfrak{h}_{1}$.
It is of central importance to know whether there exists a dressed vacuum $\Omega^{\prime}$, that is a vector $\Omega^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{j}^{\prime} \Omega^{\prime} & =v  \tag{3.14}\\
d_{j}^{\prime} \Omega^{\prime} & =v \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $j$. If there exists a unique $\Omega^{\prime}$, then the dressed electron-positron operators realize a Fock representation of the anti-commutation relations (3.5). Consequently, there must exist a unitary dressing transformation $U$ relating the dressed and bare operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}^{\prime}=U b_{j} U^{-1}, \quad d_{j}^{\prime}=U d_{j} U^{-1} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Omega^{\prime}$ does not exist, the representation is inequivalent to the Fock representation. We call the first possibility 'regular' and the second 'singular', and we are going to discuss the regular case in detail.

Let us expand $\Omega^{\prime}$ into bare states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\prime}=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, \cdots<p_{m} \\ q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}}} A_{p_{1} \cdots p_{m} q_{1} \cdots q_{n}}^{m n} b_{p_{1} \ldots \ldots}^{+} b_{p_{m}}^{+} d_{q_{1} \ldots}^{+} \ldots d_{q_{n}}^{+} \Omega . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this expression into (3.14) and using (3.8), we get the following recursion relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{p_{0}}\left(\varphi_{+}^{p}, \varphi_{0+}^{p_{0}}\right) A_{p_{0} p_{1} \cdots p_{m-1} q_{1} \cdots q_{n}}^{m n} \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-)^{m-1+k}\left(\varphi_{+}^{n}, \varphi_{O_{-}}^{q_{k}}\right) A_{p_{1} \cdots p_{m-1} q_{1} \cdots q_{k} \cdots q_{n}}^{m-1, n-1}  \tag{3.18}\\
& m=1,2, \ldots, n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $A$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{m,-1}=A^{-1, n}=0 \\
& \left\|\Omega^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{m, n} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{m} \\
q_{1}<\cdots q_{n}}}\left|A_{p_{1} \cdots q_{n}}^{m n}\right|^{2}<\infty . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Forming the absolute square of both sides of (3.18) and summing over $p$ and $q_{1}$, all terms on the left-hand side are finite because of (3.19). The same is obviously true for all terms on the right-hand side except the first one where the summation index $q_{1}$ does not appear under the indices of $A^{m, n-1}$. This leads to the necessary condition

$$
\left|A_{p_{1} \cdots p_{m-1}, q_{2} \cdots q_{n}}^{m-1, n-1}\right|^{2} \sum_{p q_{1}}\left|\left(\varphi_{+}^{p}, \varphi_{0-}^{q_{1}}\right)\right|^{2}<\infty .
$$

For a non-trivial solution some $A^{m-1, n-1}$ must be different from 0 , consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p q}\left|\left(\varphi_{+}^{p}, \varphi_{0-}^{q}\right)\right|^{2}=\left\|W_{2}\right\|_{\text {H.S }}^{2}<\infty \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

that means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}_{2}=P_{+} P_{-}^{0} \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{S} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator (H.S.). From the equation (3.15) we obtain in the same way the recursion relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{q_{0}} A_{p_{1} \cdots p_{m}, q_{0} \cdots q_{n-1}}^{m n}\left(\varphi_{0-}^{q}, \varphi_{-}^{q}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-)^{m-1+k} A_{p_{1} \cdots p_{k} \cdots p_{m}, q_{1} \cdots q_{n-1}}^{m-1, n-1}\left(\varphi_{0+}^{p k}, \varphi_{-}^{q}\right) \\
& m=0,1,2, \ldots, n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

and the second necessary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{W}_{3}^{+}=P_{-} P_{+}^{0} \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{S} . \quad \text { or } \quad \bar{W}_{3}=P_{+}^{0} P_{-} \in \text { H.S. } \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.23) that $W_{3}{ }^{+} W_{3}$ is a positive trace-class operator. Consequently the kernel n of

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{1}^{+} W_{1}=1-W_{3}^{+} W_{3} \\
& \mathfrak{n}=\operatorname{Ker} W_{1}^{+} W_{1}=\left\{f \in \mathfrak{h}_{+}^{0} \mid W_{1}^{+} W_{1} f=0\right\}=\operatorname{Ker} W_{1} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

is finite-dimensional.
We first consider the case $n=0$ (a 'weak' Bogoliubov transformation in the terminology of Labonté [5]). Then $W_{1}$ is bounded away from 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{1} f\right\| \geq \varepsilon\|f\|, \quad \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that there exists a bounded inverse $W_{1}^{-1}$. A solution of the recursion relation (3.18) is now given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{00}=1  \tag{3.26}\\
& A_{p q}^{11}=-\left(W_{1}^{-1}\right)_{p k}\left(W_{2}\right)_{k q} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} A_{p q}  \tag{3.27}\\
& A_{p_{1} \cdots q_{n}}^{n n}=\sum_{\pi}(-)^{\pi} A_{p_{1} q \pi_{1}} \cdots A_{p_{n} q \pi_{n}} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum runs over all permutations $\pi$ of the symmetric group $S_{n}$. Other solutions of (3.17) are obtained by choosing, instead of (3.26), different initial conditions, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{m_{0} 0} \neq 0 \quad \text { for some } m_{0}=1,2, \ldots, \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{0 n_{0}} \neq 0 \quad \text { for some } n_{0}=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution is a linear combination of those solutions. If $W_{1}^{-1}$ exists, it follows from (3.18) for $n=0, m=m_{0}$ that the first possibility (3.29) is excluded. This leads to the following expression for the dressed vacuum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\prime}=\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{q}^{+}\right)^{n}\right) \Phi \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is an arbitrary Fock vector containing only bare positrons.
We must still take the second recursion relation (3.22) into account. Now it follows from (3.21) that the kernel $n^{\prime}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{4}^{+} W_{4}=1-W_{2}^{+} W_{2} \\
& \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ker} W_{4}^{+} W_{4}=\operatorname{Ker} W_{4} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

is finite-dimensional. Let us first assume $n^{\prime}=0$. Then $W_{4}^{+}$has a bounded rightinverse and consequently (3.22) is solved by

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{00} & =1 \\
A_{p q}^{11} & =\left(W_{3}^{+}\right)_{p k}\left(W_{4}^{+-1}\right)_{k q}=A_{p q} \\
A_{p_{1} \cdots q_{n}}^{n n} & =\sum_{\pi}(-)^{\pi} A_{p_{1} q \pi_{1}} \times \ldots \times A_{p_{n} q \pi_{n}} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

which is consistent with (3.27) because of (3.10). Furthermore, it follows from (3.22), for $m=0$, that a different initial condition of the form (3.30) is excluded, that means $\Phi$ in (3.31) must be the bare vacuum

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{\prime} & =\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{q}^{+}\right)^{n}\right) \Omega \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{q}^{+}\right) \Omega \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

We have still to check whether $\Omega^{\prime}$ has a finite norm. This is best done with the original expression (3.17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\prime} & =\Omega+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n} \\
q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}}} \sum_{\pi}(-)^{\pi} A_{p_{1} q \pi_{n}} \times \ldots \times A_{p_{n} \pi_{1}} b_{p_{1}}^{+} \ldots b_{p_{n}}^{+} d_{q_{1}}^{+} \ldots d_{q_{n}}^{+} \Omega \\
& =\Omega+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n} \\
q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}}} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{p_{j} q_{k}}\right) b_{p_{1}}^{+} \ldots d_{q_{n}}^{+} \Omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the determinant is formed by the $n \times n$ elements $A_{p_{1} q_{n}}, \ldots, A_{p_{n} q_{1}}$.
Then we get

$$
\left\|\Omega^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n} \\ q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}}}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(A_{p_{j} q_{k}}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

The determinants are transformed as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=1+\sum_{\substack{n \\
p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n} \\
q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}}} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{p_{j} q_{k}}\right)\left(\operatorname{det} A_{p_{j} q_{k}}^{*}\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{\substack{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n} \\
q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}}} \sum_{n} \operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} A_{p_{j} q_{l}} A_{p_{k} q_{l}}^{*}\right) \\
& \left.=1+\sum_{n} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n} \\
q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}}} \sum_{1} \cdots l_{n}=1\right)\left(A_{p_{j} q_{l j}} A_{p_{k} q_{l k}}^{*}\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{n} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n} \\
q_{1} \cdots \cdots q_{n}}} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{p_{j} q_{j}} A_{p_{k} q_{j}}^{*}\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{n} \sum_{p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n}} \operatorname{det}\left(A^{+} A\right)_{p_{k} p_{j}}=\operatorname{det}\left(1+A^{+} A\right) . \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

This is finite because $A^{+} A$ is a trace class operator.
Let us now consider the general situation

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{n}=N \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}=N^{\prime}
$$

where $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ are finite. We have the direct decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}_{+}^{0}=\operatorname{Ker} W_{1} \oplus \operatorname{Ran} W_{1}^{+}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \operatorname{Ran} W_{1}^{+} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

both subspaces being closed invariant subspaces for $W_{1}^{+} W_{1}$ and $W_{3}^{+} W_{3}$. That Ran $W_{1}^{+}=\operatorname{Ran} P_{+}^{0} P_{+}$is closed follows from the fact that the operator $P_{+}^{0}$ as a mapping from $\mathfrak{h}_{+}$to $\mathfrak{h}_{+}^{+}$obeys $\left\|P_{+}^{0} f\right\| \geq \delta\|f\|$ for some $\delta>0$ and all $f \in \mathfrak{h}_{+} \cap\left(\text { Ker } W_{1}^{+}\right)^{\perp}$, which is a simple consequence of (3.21). Since $f \in \operatorname{Ker} W_{1}$ implies both $P_{+}^{0} f=f$ and $P_{-} f=f$ and the same is true for $\operatorname{Ker} W_{4}^{+}$, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ker } W_{1}=\operatorname{Ker} W_{4}^{+}=\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{h}_{-} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{+}^{0} . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we have the following direct decomposition of $\mathfrak{b}$ _

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}_{-}=\mathfrak{n} \oplus \operatorname{Ran} W_{4} . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the same arguments to $\mathfrak{h}_{-}^{0}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{+}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{h}_{+}=\mathfrak{n}^{\prime} \oplus \operatorname{Ran} W_{1}  \tag{3.39}\\
& \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{h}_{+} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{-}^{\mathbf{0}} . \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose now the basis vectors $\varphi_{0 \pm}^{j}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{ \pm}^{0}$ and $\varphi_{ \pm}^{j}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{ \pm}$in such a way that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{n}=\left\{\varphi_{0+}^{1}, \cdots \varphi_{0+}^{N}\right\}, \quad \varphi_{0+}^{k}=\varphi_{-}^{k}, k=1, \ldots N  \tag{3.41}\\
& \mathfrak{n}^{\prime}=\left\{\varphi_{0-}^{1}, \cdots \varphi_{0-}^{N^{\prime}}\right\}, \quad \varphi_{0-}^{k}=\varphi_{+}^{k}, k=1, \ldots N^{\prime} . \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

The transformation (3.8) then assumes the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{j}^{\prime}=d_{j}^{+} \quad j=1, \ldots N^{\prime}  \tag{3.43}\\
& b_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} W_{1}^{j k} b_{k}+\sum_{k=N^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} W_{2}^{j k} d_{k}^{+}, \quad j=N^{\prime}+1, \cdots \infty  \tag{3.44}\\
& d_{j}^{\prime+}=b_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots N \tag{3.45}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}^{\prime+}=\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} W_{3}^{j k} b_{k}+\sum_{k=N^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} W_{4}^{j k} d_{k}^{+}, \quad j=N+1, \ldots \infty . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $W_{1}$ and $W_{4}$ are invertible on $\mathfrak{n}^{\perp \perp}=\operatorname{Ran} W_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^{\perp}=\operatorname{Ran} W_{4}$, respectively, the vacuum for (3.44) and (3.45) is of the form (3.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\prime}=\exp \left(\sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{q}^{+}\right) \Phi . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A_{p q}$ is given by (3.27) or (3.33) using for $W_{1}^{-1}$ or $W_{4}^{+-1}$ the restricted inverse operators defined on Ran $W_{1}$ or Ran $W_{4}^{+}$, respectively, and $\Phi$ is an arbitrary vector containing only bare electrons and positrons from $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime}$. In order to satisfy (3.14), (3.15) for the remaining operators (3.43), (3.45), $\Phi$ must be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=b_{1}^{+} \cdots b_{N}^{+} d_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} \Omega \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the dressed vacuum (3.47) is uniquely determined (up to normalization). Summing up, we have shown that the conditions (3.21), (3.23) are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a unique dressed vacuum, or equivalently, for the dressed electron-positron operators forming a Fock representation. This answers a question raised in Reference [7]. If $N \neq N^{\prime}$, the dressed vacuum $\Omega^{\prime}$ becomes charged. This interesting phenomenon occurs in strong fields and is discussed in the following paper.

In the rest of this section, we will construct the unitary dressing transformation $U(3.16)$ explicitly. This has been done for Bose fields by Friedrichs [2]. The result in the Fermi case was given by Labonté [5] without proof. We shall prove it by very simple Fock space methods. $U$ maps bare states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{m n}=d_{q_{m}}^{+} \cdots d_{q_{1}}^{+} b_{p_{1}}^{+} \cdots b_{p_{n}}^{+} \Omega \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the corresponding dressed states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{m n}^{\prime}=U \Phi_{m n}=d_{q_{m}}^{\prime+} \cdots d_{q_{1}}^{\prime+} b_{p_{1}}^{\prime+} \cdots b_{p_{n}}^{\prime+} \Omega^{\prime} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega^{\prime}$ is the normalized vacuum from now on. On the bare vacuum $\Omega, U$ operates as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\prime}=C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots b_{N}^{+} d_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} e^{A_{1}} \Omega \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{q}^{+} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the normalization factor $C_{0}$ is given by (3.35).
On the one-electron states $q>N$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{q}^{\prime+} \Omega^{\prime}= & \left(\sum_{k=N^{+1}}^{\infty} W_{1}^{q k *} b_{k}^{+}+\sum_{k=N^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} W_{2}^{q k *} d_{k}\right) C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} e^{A_{1}} \Omega \\
= & C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+}(-)^{N+N^{\prime}} e^{A_{1}} \sum_{k=N^{+1}}^{\infty} W_{1}^{q k *} b_{k}^{+} \Omega \\
& +C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+}(-)^{N+N^{\prime}} \sum_{k=N^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} W_{2}^{q k *}\left[d_{k}, e^{A_{1}}\right] \Omega . \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $\exp A_{1}$ is bounded on the vectors $\Phi_{m n}$ (3.49)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{A_{1}} \Phi_{m n}\right\|^{2} \leq \exp \sum_{p q}\left|A_{p q}\right|^{2}, \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which justifies the manipulations in (3.53) and in the following. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d_{k}, e^{A_{1}}\right]=e^{A_{1}}\left[d_{k}, A_{1}\right]=-e^{A_{1}} \sum_{p=N+1}^{\infty} A_{p k} b_{p}^{+}, \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{q}^{\prime+} \Omega^{\prime}=C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+}(-)^{N+N^{\prime}} e^{A_{1}} \\
& \times \sum_{p q^{\prime}=N+1}^{\infty}\left(W_{1}^{q^{\prime} p *}-\sum_{k=N^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} W_{2}^{q^{\prime} k *} A_{p k}\right) b_{p}^{+} b_{q^{\prime}}, b_{q}^{+} \Omega . \tag{3.56}
\end{align*}
$$

Writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B= \pm\left(W_{1}^{+}-A W_{2}^{+}\right)_{\operatorname{Ran} W_{1}}= \pm\left(W_{1}^{+}+W_{1}^{-1} W_{2} W_{2}^{+}\right)= \pm W_{1}^{-1} \\
& + \text { for } N+N^{\prime} \text { even, }- \text { for } N+N^{\prime} \text { odd. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$U$ operates on the one-electron states $(j>N)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} e^{A_{1}} \sum_{p q} B_{p q} b_{p}^{+} b_{q} \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and on the vacuum $\Omega$ and the one-electron states simultaneously as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} e^{A_{1}}\left\{\mathbb{1}+\sum_{p q}\left(B_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) b_{p}^{+} b_{q}\right\} . \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction, we obtain on arbitrary electron states $(j>N)$

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{1} & =C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \cdots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} e^{A_{1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \cdots p_{n} \\
q_{1} \cdots q_{n}}}\left(B_{p_{1} q_{1}}-\delta_{p_{1} q_{1}}\right) \cdots \\
& =C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \ldots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} e^{A_{1}}: \exp \sum_{p q}\left(B_{p q}-\delta_{p_{p q} q_{n}}-b_{p}^{+} b_{q}:\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Now we add positrons ( $j>N^{\prime}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{q}^{\prime+} \prod_{k} b_{k}^{\prime+} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\prime}= & \left(\sum_{p=N+1}^{\infty} W_{3}^{q p} b_{p}+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} W_{4}^{q p} d_{p}^{+}\right) U_{1} \prod_{k} b_{k}^{+} \Omega \\
= & U_{1}(-)^{N+N^{\prime}}\left[\sum_{p=N+1}^{\infty} W_{3}^{q p} b_{p}+\sum_{p p^{\prime}=N+1}^{\infty} W_{3}^{q p^{\prime}}\left(B_{p^{\prime} p}-\delta_{p^{\prime} p}\right) b_{p}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{p=N+1 \\
q^{\prime}=N^{\prime}+1}}^{\infty} W_{3}^{q p} A_{p q^{\prime}} d_{q^{\prime}}^{+}+\sum_{p=N^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} W_{4}^{q p} d_{p}^{+}\right] \prod_{k} b_{k}^{+} \Omega . \tag{3.61}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing on Ran $W_{4}$ the matrix operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& C= \pm\left(A W_{3}+W_{4}\right)_{\operatorname{Ran} W_{4}}^{T}= \pm\left(W_{4}^{-1} W_{3}^{+} W_{3}+W_{4}\right)^{T}= \pm W_{4}^{-1 *} \\
& D= \pm\left(W_{3} B\right)^{T}= \pm\left(W_{3} W_{1}^{-1}\right)^{T}, \\
& + \text { if } N+N^{\prime} \text { even, }- \text { if } N+N^{\prime} \text { odd, } \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

$U$ operates on the states (3.61) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1} \sum_{p q}\left(C_{p q} d_{p}^{+} d_{q}+D_{p q} b_{p} d_{q}\right), \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and combined with pure electron states $(q>N)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}\left\{1+\sum_{p q}\left[\left(C_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) d_{p}^{+} d_{q}+D_{p q} b_{p} d_{q}\right]\right\} . \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding successively further positrons, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{2}= & U_{1}: \exp \sum_{p q}\left[\left(C_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) d_{p}^{+} d_{q}+D_{p q} b_{p} d_{q}\right]: \\
= & U_{1}: \exp \sum_{p q}\left(C_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) d_{p}^{+} d_{q} \exp \sum_{p q} D_{p q} b_{p} d_{q}: \\
= & C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \ldots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} \exp \sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{q}^{+}: \exp \sum_{p q}\left(B_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) b_{p}^{+} b_{q}: \\
& : \exp \sum_{p q}\left(C_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) d_{p}^{+} d_{q}: \exp \sum_{p q} D_{p q} b_{p} d_{q} . \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we have to include the finitely many exceptional states $p \leq N$ respectively $q \leq N^{\prime}$. Since, including these states, the above construction does not change, it is sufficient to consider a typical example:

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{4}^{\prime+} \Omega^{\prime} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} W_{3}^{q k} b_{k} C_{0} b_{1}^{+} \ldots b_{N}^{+} d_{1}^{+} \ldots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} e^{A_{1}} \Omega \\
& =C_{0} e^{A_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} W_{3}^{q k}(-)^{k-1} b_{1}^{+} \ldots b_{k}^{+} \ldots b_{N}^{+} d_{1}^{+} \ldots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} \Omega \\
& =C_{0} e^{A_{1}} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q^{\prime}=1}^{N^{\prime}} W_{3}^{q p}(-)^{p-1} b_{1}^{+} \ldots b_{p}^{+} \ldots b_{N}^{+} d_{1}^{+} \ldots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} d_{q^{\prime}} d_{q}^{+} \Omega \\
& =C_{0} e^{A_{1}} \sum_{p q^{\prime}} W_{3}^{q^{\prime} p}(-)^{N+N^{\prime}-1}: b_{1}^{+} \ldots d_{q^{\prime}} \ldots b_{N}^{+} d_{1}^{+} \ldots d_{N^{\prime}}^{+}: d_{q}^{+} \Omega \tag{3.66}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, the general form of the factor $U_{0}$ transforming the exceptional states is obvious

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{0}= & \left(b_{1}^{+} \mp \sum_{q} W_{3}^{q 1} d_{q}\right) \ldots\left(b_{N}^{+} \mp \sum_{q} W_{3}^{q N} d_{q}\right) \\
& \times\left(d_{1}^{+} \mp \sum_{p} W_{2}^{p 1 *} b_{p}\right) \ldots\left(d_{N^{\prime}}^{+} \mp \sum_{p} W_{2}^{p N^{\prime} *} b_{p}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
- \text { if } N+N^{\prime} \text { even, }+ \text { if } N+N^{\prime} \text { odd. } \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following final result for the dressing transformation $U$

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & C_{0} U_{0} \exp \sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{p}^{+}: \exp \sum_{p q}\left(B_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) b_{p}^{+} b_{q}: \\
& : \exp \sum_{p q}\left(C_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) d_{p}^{+} d_{q}: \exp \sum_{p q} D_{p q} b_{p} d_{q} . \tag{3.68}
\end{align*}
$$

Since after construction, $U$ maps the basis of bare states (3.49) on the basis of dressed states (3.50), it extends to a unitary transformation on all of $\mathscr{F}$. The result (3.68) is in normal ordered form. ${ }^{2}$ ) The normal ordered exponentials can be transformed into ordinary exponentials by means of the following lemma, which may be of its own interest.

Lemma. Let $H=\left(H_{p q}\right)$ be a matrix operator and

$$
\tilde{H}=\sum_{p q} H_{p q} b_{p}^{+} b_{q}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \alpha \tilde{H}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \cdots p_{n} \\
q_{1} \cdots q_{n}}}\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{n} q_{n}} \\
& \times b_{p_{n}}^{+} \ldots b_{p_{1}}^{+} b_{q_{1}} \ldots b_{q_{n}} . \tag{3.69}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We show that the right-hand side of (3.69) satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \alpha} e^{\alpha \tilde{H}} & =e^{\alpha \tilde{H}} \tilde{H}  \tag{3.70}\\
e^{\alpha \tilde{H}} \tilde{H} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \cdots p_{n+1} \\
q_{1} \cdots q_{n+1}}}\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{n} q_{n}} H_{p_{n+1} q_{n+1}}
\end{align*}
$$

This we have to order normally

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \ldots p_{n} \\
q_{1} \ldots q_{n+1}}} \sum_{m=1}^{n}(-)^{m-n}\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{m} q_{m}} H_{q_{m_{m} q_{n+1}}} \ldots \\
+\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \ldots p_{n+1} \\
q_{1} \ldots q_{n+1}}}\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots b_{p_{1}}^{+} b_{q_{1}} \ldots b_{q_{m}} \ldots b_{q_{n}} b_{q_{n+1}} \\
\left.=\sum_{n} \frac{\alpha H}{} \frac{1}{n!}\right)_{p_{p_{n} q_{n}}} H_{p_{p_{n+1} q_{n+1}}} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \ldots p_{n} \\
q_{1} \ldots q_{m} \ldots q_{n+1}}} \sum_{m=1}^{n}(-)^{m-n} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H} H\right)_{p_{m} q_{n+1}} \ldots \\
\times b_{p_{n+1}}^{+} \ldots b_{p_{1}}^{+} b_{q_{1}} \ldots b_{q_{n+1}} . \\
b_{p_{n}}^{+} \ldots b_{p_{1}}^{+} b_{q_{1}} \ldots b_{q_{m}} \ldots b_{q_{n}} b_{q_{n+1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

[^1]Since the last two members cancel, the lemma is proved.
According to the lemma we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&: \exp \sum_{p q}\left(B_{p q}-\delta_{p q}\right) b_{p}^{+} b_{q}:=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}(B-1)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots \\
&(B-1)_{p_{1} q_{n}} b_{p_{n}}^{+} \ldots b_{p_{1}}^{+} b_{q_{1}} \ldots b_{q_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\exp \sum_{p q} B_{p q}^{\prime} b_{p}^{+} b_{q}
$$

where $B^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{B^{\prime}}\right)_{p q}=B_{p q} . \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the dressing transformation (3.68) can be written as follows

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U=C_{0} U_{0} \exp \sum_{p q} A_{p q} b_{p}^{+} d_{q}^{+} \exp \sum_{p q} B_{p q}^{\prime} b_{p}^{+} b_{q} \\
\exp \sum_{p q} C_{p q}^{\prime} d_{p}^{+} d_{q} \exp \sum_{p q} D_{p q} b_{p} d_{q} \tag{3.72}
\end{array}
$$

with $B_{p q}^{\prime}, C_{p q}^{\prime}$ determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{-B^{\prime}}\right)_{p q}=\left(B^{-1}\right)_{p q}=\left(W_{1}\right)_{p q} \quad\left(e^{-C^{\prime}}\right)_{p q}=\left(C^{-1}\right)_{p q}=\left(W_{4}\right)_{p q}^{*} . \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is essentially the form of $U$ given by Labonté [5].

## 4. Regular External Fields

In this section, we investigate under what assumptions on the external fields the fundamental conditions (3.21) (3.23)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+} P_{-}^{0} \in \text { H.S. } \quad P_{+}^{0} P_{-} \in \text { H.S. } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \ldots p_{n} \\
q_{1} \ldots q_{m} \ldots q_{n+1}}} \sum_{m}(-)^{m-n} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{m} q_{m}} \ldots H_{p_{m} q_{n+1}} \\
& \times b_{p_{n}}^{+} \ldots b_{p m}^{+} \ldots b_{p_{1}}^{+} b_{q_{1}} \ldots b_{q_{m}} \ldots b_{q_{n+1}} \\
& +\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \ldots p_{n+1} \\
q_{1} \ldots q_{n+1}}}\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{n} q_{n}} H_{p_{n+1} q_{n+1}} \\
& \times b_{p_{n+1}}^{+} \ldots b_{p_{1}}^{+} b_{q_{1}} \ldots b_{q_{n+1}} \\
& =\frac{d}{d \alpha} e^{\alpha \tilde{H}}-\sum_{n} \frac{n}{n!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1} \ldots p_{n} \\
q_{1} \ldots q_{n}}}\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{n-1} q_{n-1}} H_{p_{n} q_{n}} \\
& \times b_{p_{n}}^{+} \ldots b_{q_{n}} \\
& +\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p_{1} \ldots p_{n+1}}\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{1} q_{1}} \ldots\left(e^{\alpha H}-1\right)_{p_{n} q_{n}} H_{p_{n+1} q_{n+1}} \\
& \times b_{p_{n+1}}^{+} \ldots b_{q_{n+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

are satisfied. Both conditions (4.1) are equivalent to the single condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+}-P_{+}^{0} \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{S} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, condition (3.2) implies

$$
P_{-}-P_{-}^{0} \in \text { H.S. }
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{+} P_{-}^{0}=\left(P_{+}-P_{+}^{0}\right) P_{-}^{0} \in \text { H.S. } \\
& P_{+}^{0} P_{-}=P_{+}^{0}\left(P_{-}-P_{-}^{0}\right) \in \text { H.S. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, it follows from (4.1) that

$$
P_{-} P_{+}^{0} \in \text { H.S. }
$$

and

$$
P_{+} P_{-}^{0}-P_{-} P_{+}^{0}=P_{+} P_{-}^{0}-\left(1-P_{+}\right) P_{+}^{0}=P_{+}-P_{+}^{0} \in \text { H.S. }
$$

The projection operators in (4.2) are conveniently expressed in terms of the resolvent

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=(H-z)^{-1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows [8, p. 359]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R(i \eta) d \eta . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that 0 is not an eigenvalue of $H$, otherwise one must agree upon some convention for the definition of $P_{+} ;$we return to this problem later on in the discussion of strong fields (see next paper Section 3). Then $R(z)$ is bounded for all $z=$ in and the integral (4.4) is (at least) strongly convergent. Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{0}+V, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have for the resolvent (4.3) the formal equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=R_{0}-R_{0} V R_{0}+R_{0} V R_{0} V R_{0}\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} R_{0}+R_{1}+R_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}(z)=\left(H_{0}-z\right)^{-1} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+}-P_{+}^{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \eta\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first let us look at

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \eta R_{1}(i \eta) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of a scalar potential $V(\mathbf{x})$. This operator $Q_{1}$ acts in $p$-space as an integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{1} f\right)(\mathbf{p})=\int d^{3} q Q_{1}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) f(\mathbf{q}) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the kernel

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) & =-(2 \pi)^{-5 / 2} \int d \eta \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}+m \beta+i \eta}{\mathbf{p}^{2}+m^{2}+\eta^{2}} \hat{V}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}) \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{q}+m \beta+i \eta}{\mathbf{q}^{2}+m^{2}+\eta^{2}} \\
& =-(2 \pi)^{-5 / 2} \pi \frac{\hat{V}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}}{E_{p}+E_{q}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p}+m \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{q}+m \beta}{E_{p}} \frac{1) .}{E_{q}}-1\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha \cdot \mathbf{p}+m \beta}{E_{p}}=1-2 P_{-}^{0}(\mathbf{p})=P_{+}^{0}(\mathbf{p})-P_{-}^{0}(\mathbf{p}) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the fact that $\mathbf{P}_{+}^{0}(\mathbf{p})$ are projection operators in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$, we obtain for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $Q_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Q_{1}\right\|_{\text {H.S. }}^{2} & =\int d^{3} p \int d^{3} q \operatorname{Sp} Q_{1}(p, q)^{+} Q_{1}(p, q) \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-3} \int d^{3} p \int d^{3} q \frac{|V(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})|^{2}}{\left(E_{p}+E_{q}\right)^{2}} S p\left[P_{+}^{0}(\mathbf{q}) P_{-}^{0}(\mathbf{p})+P_{-}^{0}(\mathbf{q}) P_{+}^{0}(\mathbf{p})\right] \\
& =2(2 \pi)^{-3} \int d^{3} p \int d^{3} q \frac{|V(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})|^{2}}{\left(E_{p}+E_{q}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}+m^{2}}{E_{p} E_{q}}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2(2 \pi)^{-3}\|V\|_{0}^{2} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the trace and the adjoint are taken in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$.
We are now looking for potentials $\widehat{V}(\mathbf{p})$ with a finite norm $\|V V\|_{0}$ (4.13).
Introducing the variables of integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{1}=\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q} \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}=\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}, \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can write (4.13) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\|_{0}^{2}=\int d^{3} p_{1} A\left(p_{1}\right)\left|\hat{V}\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}\right)\right|^{2}, \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $A\left(p_{1}\right)$ can be expressed in terms of complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, $K(k)$ and $E(k)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(p_{1}\right)= & \text { const }\left\{\frac{K(k)}{\sqrt{p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}}}\left(\frac{32 m^{4}}{3 p_{1}^{2}}-\frac{4}{3} m^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+E(k) \sqrt{p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}}\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{8}{3} \frac{m^{2}}{p_{1}^{2}}\right)\right\}  \tag{4.16}\\
k= & \sqrt{\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}} \quad p=\left|\mathbf{p}_{1}\right|}
\end{align*}
$$

(details are given in the Appendix). Expanding (4.16) for $p_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ and $p_{1} \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A\left(p_{1}\right) \sim p_{1} & \text { for } p_{1} \rightarrow \infty \\
A\left(p_{1}\right) \sim p_{1}^{2} & \text { for } p_{1} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.17}
\end{array}
$$

which leads to the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{||\mathrm{p}| \geqslant a} d^{3} p p|\hat{V}(\mathbf{p})|^{2}<\infty  \tag{4.18}\\
& \int_{||\mathrm{p}| \leqslant a} d^{3} p p^{2}|\hat{V}(\mathbf{p})|^{2}<\infty \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for some finite $a>0$. That means $\hat{V}(\mathbf{p})$ can be decomposed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}(\mathbf{p})=\hat{V}_{1}(\mathbf{p})+\hat{V}_{2}(\mathbf{p}) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\operatorname{supp} \hat{V}_{1} \subset\{|\mathbf{p}| \geq a\}, \quad \operatorname{supp} \hat{V}_{2} \subset\{|\mathbf{p}| \leq a\}
$$

and $\hat{V}_{1}, \hat{V}_{2}$ satisfying (4.18) and (4.19) respectively. We shall denote this class of potentials $V(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V(\mathbf{x}) \in\left(L_{1 / 2}^{2}+L_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to current terminology [9].
Let us next show the self-adjointness of $H$ on $D\left(H_{0}\right)$ for this class of potentials (4.21). Owing to the well-known theorem of Kato [8, p. 377], it is sufficient to prove that $V$ is $H_{0}$ - bounded, that means an estimate of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V f\| \leq a\left\|H_{0} f\right\|+b\|f\|, \quad f \in D\left(H_{0}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with $a<1$. This is trivial for $V_{2}$, because it follows from (4.19) that $\hat{V}_{2} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $V_{2} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{2} f\right\| \leq\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\|f\| \leq\left\|\hat{V}_{2}\right\|_{1}\|f\| . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $V_{1} \in L_{1 / 2}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ more refined estimates are necessary. In this case $V_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ can be expressed as a convolution of the Bessel potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1 / 2}(x)=\text { const } e^{-|\mathbf{x}|} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t|\mathbf{x}|}\left(t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)^{3 / 4} d t \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an $L^{2}-$ function $w_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=G_{1 / 2}{ }^{*} w_{1} . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, since

$$
\left|G_{1 / 2}(x)\right| \leq \text { const }|x|^{-5 / 2},
$$

it follows from the generalized (weak) Young inequality [10, p. 32]

$$
\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3} \leq\left\|G_{1 / 2}\right\|_{6 / 5, w}\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{2} \leq \text { const }\left\||x|^{-5 / 2}\right\|_{6 / 5, w}\left\|w_{1}\right\|_{2}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \in L^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies by Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities [10, p. 113]

$$
\left\|V_{1} f\right\| \leq\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3}\|f\|_{6} \leq \text { const }\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right\| .
$$

Using

$$
\left\|H_{0} f\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right\|^{2}+m^{2}\|f\|^{2}
$$

it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|V_{1} f\right\|^{2} & \leq \text { const }\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3}^{2} 3 \sum_{j}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \text { const }\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3}^{2}\left\|H_{0} f\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (4.22), because $\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3}$ can be made arbitrarily small by choosing the decomposition of $V(4.20)$ appropriately. By a slight extension of these arguments [11], it can even be proved that $V$ is $H_{0}$-compact, that means

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(H_{0}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \text { is compact } \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\lambda$ not in the spectrum of $H_{0}$. This has the important consequence that $H$ has the same essential spectrum as $H_{0}$, i.e. $(-\infty,-m] \cup[m,+\infty)$. Then, in the interval $(-m, m) H$ has only isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Furthermore, it is possible to define generalized eigenfunctions $u(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})$ and to prove the eigenfunction expansions mentioned in Section 1 [6].

Now we have to consider the remaining term in (4.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \eta R_{2}(i \eta), \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}=R_{0} V R_{0} V R_{0}\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1} . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have not succeeded in proving that $Q_{2}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator for the whole class of potentials (4.21); we can show this at the moment only for a restricted class.*) The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $Q_{2}$ is estimated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{2}\right\|_{\text {H.s. }} \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \eta\left\|R_{0} V R_{0}\right\|_{\text {H.s. }\left\|V R_{0}\right\|}\left\|\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1}\right\| . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|R_{0} V R_{0}\right\|_{\text {H. . } . ~}^{2} & =\int d^{3} p \int d^{3} q \frac{|\hat{V}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})|^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{p}^{2}+\eta_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{q}^{2}+\eta_{0}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\int d^{3} k|\hat{V}(\mathbf{k})|^{2} \int d^{3} p \frac{1}{\left.\left(\mathbf{p}^{2}+\eta_{0}^{2}\right)(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k})^{2}+\eta_{0}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\eta_{0}^{2}=\eta^{2}+m^{2} .
$$

[^2]The inner integral can be carried out

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{0} V R_{0}\right\|_{\text {H.s. }}^{2}=\int d^{3} k|\hat{V}(\mathbf{k})|^{2} J(k) \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(k)=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{k}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\operatorname{arctg} \frac{2 \eta_{0}}{k}\right) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J(k) \rightarrow \pi^{2} / \eta_{0}$ for $k \rightarrow 0$, we must require $\hat{V} \in L^{2}$ for the convergence of (4.32) at $k=0$, which is a restriction of the infrared condition (4.19). Then, since

$$
J(k) \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{\eta_{0}} \text { for } k \leq 2 \eta_{0}
$$

and

$$
J(k) \leq \text { const } / k \quad \text { for all } k
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(k) \leq \operatorname{const} / \eta_{0} \quad \text { for all } k \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{0} V R_{0}\right\|_{\text {H.s. }} \leq \mathrm{const}\|\hat{V}\|_{2}\left(\eta^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for (4.30) being integrable at infinity, we need additional negative powers of $\eta$ from the second factor under the integral (4.30).

We return to the decomposition (4.20). For $V_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{2} R_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|R_{0}\right\|=\left\|V_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta^{2}+m^{2}}} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which decreases rapidly enough for $\eta \rightarrow \infty$. On $V_{1}$, however, we must impose an additional ultraviolet restriction. Instead of (4.26) let us assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \in L^{3+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, following essentially an argument by Prosser [12], Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{1} f\right\| \leq\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3+\varepsilon}\|f\|_{s}, \quad s=\frac{6+2 \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hausdorff-Young inequality implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{s} \leq(2 \pi)^{3 / 2-3 / r}\|\hat{f}\|_{r}, \quad r=\frac{6+2 \varepsilon}{5+\varepsilon} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be estimated by Hölder's inequality again

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\hat{f}\|_{r} & =\left\|\left(\sqrt{p^{2}+m^{2}}+M\right) \hat{f}\left(\sqrt{p^{2}+m^{2}}+M\right)^{-1}\right\|_{r} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\sqrt{p^{2}+m^{2}}+M\right) \hat{f}\right\|\left\|\left(\sqrt{p^{2}+m^{2}}+M\right)^{-1}\right\|_{s_{1}}, \quad s_{1}=3+\varepsilon \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

with arbitrary $M$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sqrt{p^{2}+m^{2}}+M\right) \hat{f}\right\| \leq\left\|H_{0} f\right\|+M\|f\| \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sqrt{p^{2}+m^{2}}+M\right)^{-1} \|_{s_{1}} \leq \mathrm{const} M^{3 / s_{1}-1} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{1} f\right\| \leq \mathrm{const}\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{3+\varepsilon}\left(M^{-\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|H_{0} f\right\|+M^{1-\varepsilon^{\prime}}\|f\|\right) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\prime}=\frac{\varepsilon}{3+\varepsilon} \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking

$$
f=R_{0} g
$$

this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|V_{1} R_{0} g\right\| & \leq \text { const }\left\{M^{-\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{|\eta|}{\sqrt{\eta^{2}+m^{2}}}\right)+M^{1-\varepsilon^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta^{2}+m^{2}}}\right\}\|g\| \\
& \leq \text { const }\left\{2 M^{-\varepsilon^{\prime}}+M^{1-\varepsilon^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta^{2}+m^{2}}}\right\}\|g\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing

$$
M=\sqrt{\eta^{2}+m^{2}}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{1} R_{0}\right\| \leq \frac{\text { const }}{\left(\eta^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon^{\prime} / 2}} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

This factor produces enough decrease if $\varepsilon^{\prime}>\frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $\varepsilon>\frac{3}{4}$, that means

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(x) \in L^{15 / 4+\delta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$. Finally, we must look at the last factor $\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1}$ in (4.30). It follows from the estimate (4.22) that

$$
\left\|V R_{0}\right\| \leq a\left(1+\frac{|\eta|}{\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+m^{2}}}\right)+\frac{b}{\sqrt{|\eta|^{2}+m^{2}}}
$$

and this becomes $<1$ for $|\eta|$ large enough because the constant $a$ can be chosen $<\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, $\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded on, say, $|\eta|>\eta_{0}$. For $|\eta| \leq \eta_{0}$, it is bounded as well: Since $V R_{0}$ is compact, $\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1}$ is meromorphic; the poles are point eigenvalues of $H$ and therefore lie on the real axis. Then $\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded on the imaginary axis because we have assumed that 0 is not eigenvalue of $H$. Hence, $\left(1+V R_{0}\right)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded in (4.30), leading to a finite HilbertSchmidt norm. Summing up, we have obtained the following class of regular potentials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\mathbf{x}) \in\left(L^{15 / 4+\delta}+L^{2}\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with (4.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|\mathbf{p}| \geqslant a} d^{3} p p|\hat{V}(\mathbf{p})|^{2}<\infty . \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

As mentioned in the introduction, there is a second definition of regular external fields due to Bongaarts [1]. which in contrast to (4.1) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+}^{0} e^{-i H t} P_{-}^{0} \in \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{S} . \quad \text { for all } t . \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition ensures the existence of a Hamiltonian in Fock space (see the following paper) and has, for the time being, nothing to do with the existence of a dressed vacuum. However, the condition (4.1) implies (4.49). This follows simply from

$$
P_{+}^{0} e^{-i H t} P_{-}^{0}=P_{+}^{0} e^{-i H t} P_{+} P_{-}^{0}+P_{+}^{0} P_{-} e^{-i H t} P_{-}^{0} .
$$

We have not succeeded in proving the converse. ${ }^{3}$ ) That both conditions are actually equivalent is quite plausible from the fact that the first order condition corresponding to (4.49) [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d^{3} p \int d^{3} q \frac{|\hat{V}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})|^{2}}{\left(E_{p}+E_{q}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}+m^{2}}{E_{p} E_{q}}\right) \sin ^{2} \frac{E_{p}+E_{q}}{2} t<\infty \quad \text { for all } t \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies (4.13), which follows by integration over $t$.
It is not difficult to give examples of scalar potentials which are not regular. The simplest one is the square-well

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(\mathbf{x}) & =V_{0} & & \text { if }|\mathbf{x}| \leq r_{0} \\
& =0 & & \text { if }|\mathbf{x}|>r_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then condition (4.47) is fulfilled which implies that the higher order term $Q_{2}$ (4.28) is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. But (4.48) is not satisfied, consequently $Q_{1}(4.9)$ and therefore $P_{+}-P_{+}^{0}$ are not Hilbert-Schmidt. To get a regular potential, one has to smooth out the edges of the square-well. Let us finally remark that in the case of a time independent vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ the first order operator $Q_{1}(4.9)$ is never a Hilbert-Schmidt operator (unless $\mathbf{A}=0$ ). From this, it is quite certain that regular static magnetic fields do not exist. This would be very surprising and requires further investigations.

## Note added in proof

In a forthcoming paper by G. Nenciu and G. Scharf it is proved that the class of regular external fields is not larger than (4.21). In particular, no static magnetic field is regular. On the other hand, we can enlarge the class to almost all of (4.21). All potentials satisfying

$$
\int_{|\mathbf{p}| \geqslant a} d^{3} p p^{1+\varepsilon}|\hat{V}(\mathbf{p})|^{2}<\infty \quad \text { for some } \varepsilon>0
$$

and (4.19) are regular.

[^3]
## Appendix

Here we will give some details of the computation of the integral (4.13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\int d^{3} p \int d^{3} q \frac{|\hat{V}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})|^{2}}{\left(E_{p}+E_{q}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}+m^{2}}{E_{p} E_{q}}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the integration variables

$$
\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{p}_{1} \quad \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{p}_{2}
$$

and integrating over $\mathbf{p}_{2}$ using spherical coordinates $\mathbf{p}_{2}=\left(p_{2}, \vartheta, \varphi\right)$, where $\vartheta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{2}$ and $\cos \vartheta=z$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\int d^{3} p_{1} A\left(p_{1}\right)\left|\hat{V}\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(p_{1}\right)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d p_{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} d z \frac{p_{2}^{2}}{\left(E_{p}+E_{q}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{p_{2}^{2}-p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}}{4 E_{p} E_{q}}\right) \\
& E_{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}(a+b z) \quad E_{q}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}(a-b z) \\
& a=p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+4 m^{2} \quad b=2 p_{1} p_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next the integral over $z$ in (A.3) can be carried out

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\int_{-1}^{+1} d z \frac{1}{2 a+2\left(a^{2}-b^{2} z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left(1-\frac{a-2 p_{1}^{2}}{\left(a^{2}-b^{2} z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)=A_{2}+A_{3}  \tag{A.4}\\
& A_{2}=2 \frac{a-p_{1}^{2}}{a b}\left(\frac{a}{b}-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\right)  \tag{A.5}\\
& A_{3}=\frac{2}{b} \operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{a}{b}-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining integrals over $p_{2}$ lead to elliptic integrals.
We get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{M} d p_{2} p_{2}^{2} A_{2}= & -\frac{1}{2 p_{1}^{2}}\left\{\int_{0}^{M} d p_{2}\left(p_{2}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{P(x)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{3}\left(4 m^{2}-p_{1}^{2}\right) J_{1}-\frac{1}{3}\left(4 m^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} J_{0}\right\}  \tag{A.7}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{M-\frac{1}{2} J_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(4 m^{2}-3 p_{1}^{2}\right) J_{0}-2 p_{1}^{2}\left(4 m^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right) J_{3}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
x=M^{2}, \quad P(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}\left(8 m^{2}-2 p_{1}^{2}\right)+x\left(4 m^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}=\int_{0}^{M^{2}} \frac{d x}{P(x)^{1 / 2}} \quad J_{1}=\int_{0}^{M^{2}} d x \frac{x}{\left(P(x)^{1 / 2}\right.} \\
J_{3}=\int_{0}^{M^{2}} \frac{d x}{\left(x+4 m^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right) P(x)^{1 / 2}} \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral over $A_{3}$ (A.6) is first transformed by partial integration and then treated in the same way as $A_{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{M} d p_{2} p_{2}^{2} A_{3}=\frac{1}{2} M+\frac{1}{4}\left\{J_{1}-2\left(p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}\right) J_{0}+2\left(p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}\right)^{2} J_{3}\right\} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we express the integrals (A.8) for $M \rightarrow \infty$ by complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, $K(k)$ and $E(k)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{0} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\left(p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} K(k) \\
& J_{3} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\left(p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} K(k) \\
& J_{2} \rightarrow 2 M+2\left(p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\{K(k)-2 E(k)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
k^{2}=\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{p_{1}^{2}+4 m^{2}}
$$

Collecting all terms and taking the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the result (4.16).
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