

in the New Testament

Autor(en): **Elliott, J K.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Theologische Zeitschrift**

Band (Jahr): **46 (1990)**

Heft 3

PDF erstellt am: **21.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-878371>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

τε in the New Testament*

In the Koine τε was steadily falling into desuetude, but the various uses of τε did not become uncommon or obsolete at the same rate. Three such uses can be discerned. First is what may be called «free τε», where either a single τε is used in the sense of «and», or a series, τε . . . τε meaning «both . . . and». Next there is the phrase τε καί, or τὲ . . . καί «both . . . and» and thirdly, οὔτε, μήτε, εἴτε singly or repeated.

The word seems to have gone out of use in this order. Free τε is least common. There is one doubtful instance at Mt xxviii. 12 (*v.l. om. D et al.*), none in Mark, none in Luke and none in John. Acts has many, about 100 according to A. C. Clark¹. There are a few instances in the Pauline Epistles: Rom. i. 26, ii. 19, vii. 7 (*v.l.*) xiv. 8 (4), 1 Cor. iv. 21, Eph. iii. 19. Hebrews has several: i. 3, vi. 2, 5, ix. 1, xii. 2. None of the other works have this usage.

τε . . . καί is commoner. It occurs at Mt. xxii. 10, xxvii. 48 (*v.l.*), Lk. ii 16 (*v.l.*), xii. 45 (*v.l.*), xv. 2 (*v.l.*), xxi. 11 (2) (*v.l.*), xxii. 66 (*v.l.*), xxiii. 12, xxiv. 20 (*v.l.*) and about 60 times in Acts. The Pauline Epistles have Rom. i. 12, 14 (2), 16 (*v.l.*), 20, 27 (*v.l.*), ii. 9, 10, iii. 9, x. 12, 1 Cor. i. 2 (*v.l.*), 24, 30 (*v.l.*), Phil. i. 7. The formulae of the type Ἰουδαῖος τε καὶ Ἕλληγ are responsible for Rom. i. 16, ii. 9, 10, iii. 9, x. 12, 1 Cor. i. 24. Hebrews has ii. 4 (*v.l.*), 11, iv. 12 (*v.l.*), v. 7, 14, vi. 2, 4, 19, vii. 3, ix. 2, 9, 19, x. 33, xi. 32(2), fifteen instances in all. There are two examples at James iii. 7: Rev. xix. 18 is doubtful.

οὔτε and μήτε survive little better. Matthew has οὔτε at vi. 20, xii. 32, xxii. 30. Marcan examples are cited at v. 3, xii. 25, xiv. 68: all should probably be rejected as secondary. In Luke there is xiv. 35, xx. 35 and in John iv. 11, 21, v. 37, viii. 19, ix. 3. Acts has surprisingly few: ii. 31 (*v.l.*), xv. 10, xix. 37, xxiv. 12, xxv. 8, xxviii. 21, not more than six instances. The Pauline Epistles give Rom. viii. 38, 39, 1 Cor. iii. 7, vi. 9 (*v.l.*), 10 (*v.l.*), viii. 8, xi. 11, Gal. i. 12 (*v.l.*), v. 6, vi. 15, 1 Thess. ii. 5, 6, perhaps nine examples. Next there are James iii. 12 (*v.l.*) and 3 Jn. 10. Revelation gives iii. 15, 16, v. 4, ix. 20, 21, xxi. 4, six examples. For μήτε we have the following details: Mt. v. 34, 35, 36, xi. 18, Lk. ix. 3 (not vii. 33) Acts xxiii. 8 (*v.l.*), 12, 21, xxvii. 20, 2 Thess. ii. 2, 1 Tim. 1, 7, Heb. vii. 3, James v. 12, Rev. vii. 1, 3.

* This note is based on discussion initiated by the late Professor S. D. Kilpatrick and is offered *in memoriam*.

¹ A. C. Clark, *The Acts of the Apostels*, Oxford 1933, 396f.

We can now draw up a comparative table:

	free τε	τε . . . και	οὔτε, μήτε
Mark	—	—	—
John	—	—	5
Revelation	—	1?	8
Matthew	—?	1–2	7
Luke	—	1–8	3
Acts	c. 100	c. 60	8–10
Pauline epistles	8–9	10–14 + 6	9–13
Hebrews	5	13–15	1
James	—	2	1–2
3 John	—	—	1
Pastoral epistles	—	—	1

This table probably gives us a good picture of the use of this particle before Atticist influence began to affect Greek writers. Free τε was almost out of use even in writings like Luke, the Pastorals and James. If we leave Acts aside for the moment, it is rare in the Pauline Epistles and used more freely only in Hebrews . . . τε . . . και is a little more common. For example, it occurs in Matthew, appears eight times in Luke and twice in James. The range of writings which use οὔτε, μήτε is even wider, including John, Revelation and 3 John, in addition to the books which have τε . . . και.²

These data give us some indications about the style and the pretensions to style of the various writers. First it is clear that Acts is eccentric. In this book the use of τε has become an extravagant abnormality, and must be treated accordingly. It is noteworthy that οὔτε and μήτε have not shared in this extravagance, a feature perhaps comparable to the fact that Hebrews does not use οὔτε at all and μήτε only once. Apart from Acts, the Pauline Epistles, Hebrews, James and Luke, make the most use of the word. Its appearance in John and Revelation is modest, and it is not part of the language of Mark, or, the Pastoral Epistles, except for μήτε once. Of all the writings concerned we would naturally rank John, Revelation, the Pastorals and Mark together, as making the slightest claim to a good Greek style, and this grouping is supported by the evidence of τε.

² Cf. Blass – Debrunner 443–5.

The New Testament reserve in the use of the word has one important consequence. More work is thrown on to *καί* and on to *οὐ . . . οὐδέ*. We have to remember for example that for most of the new Testament *καί . . . καί* is the only way of expressing «both . . . and», *οὐ . . . οὐδέ* is used where earlier *οὔτε . . . οὔτε* would have been preferable.

In looking through an apparatus we notice many passages where it is uncertain whether *τε* is to be read or not: *v.l.* in the above lists means some mss. avoid *τε*. Does our examination of the use of the word as a whole in the N.T. suggest any principle in dealing with doubtful cases? Apart from the fact that the particle is going out of use there is one other event to keep in mind. From the time of Hadrian onward, Greek writers and writings were subjected to an intensive Atticising influence. In keeping with this, Attic features were inserted into the New Testament writings where they were originally lacking. Information about the use of *τε* in Greek writers who were trying to Atticise, can be derived from the indices to Schmid, *Atticismus*, and details about the use of *τε* in Longus, from G. Valley, *Über den Sprachgebrauch des Longus*. We shall then be wise to reject doubtful instances of *τε* as being due to Atticist correction if other things are equal. To this there is one exception, Acts. Here the writer has carried his cult of *τε* to such an excess that the frequent presence of the particle must have been an irritant to any Greek reader, even if he were trained in Atticist schools. In this book the tendency seems to have been for scribes to cut down the author's exuberance at all costs.³

J. K. Elliott, Leeds

³ No account is taken of *εἴτε*. It is frequent in the Pauline Epistles. Otherwise it occurs only at 1 Pet. ii 13, 14.