Zeitschrift:	Swiss bulletin für angewandte Geologie = Swiss bulletin pour la géologie appliquée = Swiss bulletin per la geologia applicata = Swiss bulletin for applied geology	
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Vereinigung von Energie-Geowissenschaftern; Schweizerische Fachgruppe für Ingenieurgeologie	
Band:	20 (2015)	
Heft:	1	
Artikel:	Ground improving injections underneath historical buildings : five case histories as an overview of a technique	
Autor:	Berengo, Valentina	
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-632518	

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

Download PDF: 04.05.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Ground improving injections underneath historical buildings: five case histories as an overview of a technique Valentina Berengo¹

Abstract

The geotechnical design approach for interventions underneath historic sites and monuments is strongly influenced by the invasiveness of the selected technique. Ground improving interventions with expansion resin injections allow for the compacting of the ground and filling of the voids underneath a foundation in a non-invasive way. In this paper several possibilities offered by this technique will be presented, describing five case histories performed all over Europe. In particular for each of these the most peculiar aspects will be highlighted in order to describe each phase of the intervention design. In terms of the design, different approaches can be used, ranging from a simple statistical approach and going as far as a 3D finite elements modelling. Due to the historical relevance of the buildings, in these cases the continuous monitoring of the job sites also plays a leading role together with comparative on-site tests to measure the effectiveness of the injections.

Zusammenfassung

Die Planung von geotechnischen Interventionen im Untergrund von historischen Gebäuden und Monumenten wird stark beeinflusst von der Invasivität der gewählten Technik. Injektionen mit expansivem Harz erlauben es, in nicht-invasiver Art und Weise, den Untergrund zu kompaktieren und Hohlräume zu füllen. Im vorliegenden Beitrag werden verschiedene Möglichkeiten der Anwendung dieser Technik anhand von fünf Fallbeispielen in Europa präsentiert. Um die einzelnen Phasen der Intervention zu schildern, werden bei jedem Beispiel die besonderen Aspekte hervorgehoben. Für die Planung können verschiedene Ansätze verwendet werden: Vom einfachen statistischen Ansatz bis hin zu 3D-Finite-Elemente-Modellierung. Aufgrund der historischen Bedeutung der Gebäude kam der kontinuierlichen Überwachung während der Arbeiten eine bedeutende Rolle zu, zusammen mit vergleichenden Tests vor Ort zur Bestimmung der Effektivität der Injektionen.

Resin injections technology applied to historical buildings restoring

1.1 Introduction

Often the engineering practice leads engineers to work with the restoring of historical buildings affected by cracks which evolve over the building's lifetime.

Crack generation and evolution are in general due to differential settlements. These are caused by building modification, such as an enlargement, or by a change in the load distribution, or even by a variation in soil properties due, for instance, to a raising or reduction in the water table level or to a chemical soil modification.

Regardless of the reason why settlements have occurred, there are two possible solutions: foundations strengthening or soil improvement by means of a non-invasive technique capable of guaranteeing low impact, especially in the case of historical monuments. Polyuretanic resin injections can be used to this aim, since they improve the foundation soil, causing very low vibrations.

1.2 Uretek Deep Injections® technology

Uretek Deep Injections® is already a wellknown technology, consisting in local injections into the soil of a high-pressure expansion resin, named Geoplus®, whose properties are widely described in Favaretti et al. 2004, in order to produce a remarkable improvement in the geotechnical properties of the foundation soil.

The operation steps do not require invasive

¹ University of Padova, Department ICEA, Padova, Italy

excavations or connection systems to existing and new foundation structures, so it is particularly suitable for historic buildings.

Small quantities of expanding materials are injected with precision underneath the foundation level into the soil volume where the stress state reaches its peak. After, injection resin immediately begins to expand and the high expansion pressure of the injection grout guarantees a proper compaction of the soil. The expansion process first leads to the compaction of the surrounding soil and then, in case of light overstructures, also to a lift.

This expansion process can be theoretically studied as a spherical cavity (or cylindrical, if several injections are performed very close to one, along the same vertical line) expanding in quasi-static conditions.The soil is modelled as a linear elastic-perfectly plastic material with a non-associated Mohr-Coulomb yield criterion and is considered initially subjected to an isotropic state of stress.

During the first part of the expansion process, when the internal pressure of the cavity increases, the soil exhibits elastic behavior. After reaching a specific value of the internal pressure plastic deformation starts, similarly to the elastic phase, until it reaches the pressure limit (σ_{lim}). It is assumed that as soon as pressure limit is reached, the resin solidifies (Dei Svaldi et al. 2005).

The analytical model of the expansion process together with the resin expansion law obtained in the laboratory were used to develop a software, Uretek S.I.M.S. 1.0, capable of predicting the ground improvement index of a soil injected with Geoplus® resin. Its characteristics are well described in Gabassi et al. 2010.

1.3 The restoring process phases

The entire design process must include several phases in order to best apply the intervention technique. It is necessary to know the local conditions through preliminary testing: both the soil profile and its mechanical properties by means of a geotechnical investigation (CPTU tests, MAIW system) and the geometry of the foundation system must be determined. Then the injection process must be modelled with the analytical model described above and/or a numerical analysis in order to understand how to best perform it in the field. During the injections the entire procedure must be monitored by electric receivers lit by a laser emitter and anchored to the building whose foundation is to be worked upon in order to measure vertical displacements. During and after the field intervention, a monitoring system called Easy Crack Monitor® is installed to guarantee an automated control of the relative movement of pairs of check points, which can be the two lips of a crack, and verify that they no longer move significantly. However, soil properties are to be investigated after the field intervention in order to verify the soil improvement in terms of strength: CPTU and pressiometer tests are performed at the end of the injection process to compare results with those performed previously.

In the following, each of these important phases will be described by presenting a specific case history in which the Uretek® technology was applied.

2 Preliminary and post-intervention surveys

2.1 Palatium Vetus in Alessandria

In this case history it was necessary to understand the shape of the foundations of the building in order to estimate the local stress induced on the soil, together with the soil properties, in order to plan the restoring intervention.

Palatium Vetus (Fig. 1) is in fact the oldest building in Alessandria: it was built in 1170 in the heart of the town and has been refur-



Fig. 1: Palatium Vetus in Alessandria (historic photograph).

bished and enlarged so many times that the soil stress state has changed under the foundation system. The final configuration is constituted by only one body with two levels, lying on several types of foundations. To investigate the foundation system a series of drillings were performed. A manual boring machine was used (max energy per bump: 12–14 Joule, frequency: 1.200–2.800 bumps per minute), making holes of 26 mm diameter (Fig. 2). Drillings were performed alongside the perimetral wall to identify the base level of the foundations and also at increasing distance from the wall to investigate the presence of enlargements or connecting beams among the plinths.

Fourteen different areas around the entire building were investigated (Fig. 2): walls were found to be constituted by bricks and binder with no discontinuities, the foundation base level varies from 0.5 m to 3.2 m depth and a 10–15 cm layer of crushed stones lies under the foundation system.

The load was estimated to increase due to the restoring intervention, up to 50% (the average value is circa 18–20%), so the final soil stress state varies more or less from 0.35 MPa to 1.19 MPa, depending on the foundation type.

A complete geotechnical survey was also performed in order to estimate the bearing capacity of the soil. Two penetrometer tests were carried out together with a borehole with SPT tests and laboratory tests (oedometer and shear tests): under a super-



Fig. 2: Above: foundation survey at Palatium Vetus. Below: survey and intervention map.

ficial replenishment layer, whose thickness varies from 1.5 m to 2.4 m, a 3 m thick clayey layer and a 1 m silty and sandy layer were detected. Under those low resistance soil layers stiffer ones were found: more than 10 m thick of gravelly and sandy layers.

Since the superficial layers had little bearing resistance, soil stabilization was planned by means of the Uretek Deep Injections® technology.

The aim was to increase the mechanical properties of the ground in order to make it able to bear the stress due to the increased load transferred by the foundations. Because of the historical value of the building, the aim was not to interfere with the



Fig. 3: Injection procedure.

existing walls, so the Uretek® technology was extremely suitable.

The design was performed by means of Uretek software S.I.M.S. 1.0 described above. For further details the interested reader is referred to Pasquetto et al. (2011). The injections were performed on five different levels from the base level of the foundations until 3.5 m of depth (interaxis distance: 0.6 m) with manual boring machines identical to those used to investigate the foundations, which passed through the final part of the foundations themselves, existing as described in Fig. 3. In this way only light vibrations were guaranteed.

To test the improvement of the soil resistance, fourteen comparative penetrometer tests were performed in the treated zones, in accordance with Italian regulation (NTC 2008). Fig. 4 shows that mechanical properties increased more than 40% in the critical points, even though the test itself has no more than comparative meaning.



Fig. 4: Penetrometer tests before (light grey) and after (dark grey) intervention at Palatium Vetus (x-axis: number of strokes per 10 cm, y-axis: depth [m]).

Preliminary survey has thus been found to be very important in order to correctly design the intervention (geometry and soil knowledge) and also to allow the comparison between the initial and final soil characteristics.

3 Numerical design

3.1 The Città di Castello tower

In the case of the Città di Castello tower, it clearly appears how helpful a 3D FEM analysis can be in making important job site decisions.

The tower, dated around the thirteenth century, is a slim structure (rectangular shape: 6.10×6.8 m, maximum height: 39.8 m) leaning towards the main square and also towards the contiguous alley, and the way we see it today is the result of several collapses and reconstructions occurred over time. In particular, after the earthquake occurred in March 2007 a separation of 4 cm was detected in the seismic joint between the tower and the Bishop's Palace, due to a differential settlement. This settlement strongly increased the measured leaning of the tower from 72 cm to 78 cm towards the main square.

In order to model the actual configuration of the tower and to have a reliable prediction of the intervention effects a 3D analysis was performed using the commercial software Plaxix 3D Tunnel.

The soil profile and properties were drawn on the basis of four boreholes, ground penetration radar and laboratory tests. Under a superficial inhomogeneous replenishment layer, whose thickness varies from 1.5 m to 5.7 m, a sequence of silty sands and sandy silts layers is found, followed by a bottom layer of clay and clayey silts at depth varying from 10.0 m to 13.0 m. The soil layer parameters are summarized in Tab. 1 and the OCR is slightly lower than 1.

The tower was modelled in a vertical position in the input data. Afterwards, the construction phases were simulated using intermediate steps until the final configuration was reached (Fig. 5).

The injections were modelled as a volumetric expansion of solid elements by forcing the volumetric strain value of the element according to the volume increase calculated with Uretek S.I.M.S. 1.0. In doing so, an accurate determination of the grout quantities to be injected was possible, given the quick reaction time of the resin preventing the material from flowing away from the injection point. A stress-strain analysis of the tower for every scheduled injection phase was thus performed, simulating the injected volume as an expansion of the soil element located exactly in correspondence of the injection point (x, y and z). The stiffness increase of both the surrounding as well as

Soil Type	Parameter					
	γsat	E	c'	φ	Ψ	Constitutive
	kN/m ³	kPa	kPa	o	0	Law
Replenishment (Silty Clay)	19.5	6'250	31	23	-	Mohr-Coulomb
Replenishment (Sandy Silt)	20.0	4'000	30	28	-1	Mohr-Coulomb
Replenishment (Sand)	18.5	3'000	0	32	-	Mohr-Coulomb
Sandy Silt	20.0	8,000	18	30	-3	Mohr-Coulomb
Silty Sand	20.0	9,000	18	30	-2	Mohr-Coulomb
Clay and Clayey Silt	21.2	13'000	10	27	-	Mohr-Coulomb

Tab. 1: Soil layers properties for the Città di Castello tower FEM model.

the treated soil was taken from the Uretek S.I.M.S. 1.0 output as well.

All throughout the work a real time electronic monitoring was operating. Fig. 6 shows how slight the differences are between the calculated time/settlement curve and the real settlement measurements after every injection phase, thus confirming the reliability of a numerical analysis that reproduces the resin injections.



Fig. 5: Città di Castello tower mesh for the FEM analysis.

4 Intervention phases

4.1 The Venice case at «Punta della Dogana»

The case of «Punta della Dogana» in Venice demonstrates how sometimes the intervention must be scheduled in phases. This can be due to particular local conditions and sometimes the interventions must be changed during the execution itself.

«Punta della Dogana» is a historical place in Venice where trade occurred. The trapezium-shaped buildings were built in 1677 on the basis of the design of the architect Giuseppe Benoni (Fig. 7).

The main building has a width that varies from 16 m to 75 m and floors lie on ten brick walls, parallel to one another, as described in Fig. 7. Foundations are constituted by bricks and binder walls laying on four different substrates: directly on the soil, on a rigid shelf laid on the ground, on a shelf that lies on wooden piles or on the foundations of an existing tower. The foundation base level varies from 0.69 m to 2.84 m depending on the position.

In May 2003, during the restoring of the «Canal Grande» shore walls (the main channel in Venice) by means of micropiles, settlements of the wall near the «Punta della Dogana» together with settlements of the building itself occurred. These caused old cracks to open again, and new ones to be created. Three mechanisms were responsible for this: vertical differential settlements, elongation in the direction of the internal walls, and rotation of the facades, especially the one towards the «Canal Grande». At that time the shore walls were already being monitored and the monitoring was continued after the episode, highlighting a settling trend. Assuming the zero corresponding to the measurement of 31.07.2002, the settlement-time curve plotted in Fig. 8 shows a sharp increase in terms of settlements between 30th April, and 15th May for all the anchorages, especially SL15 that is in corre-



Fig. 6: Time-settlement calculated curves and real measurements at Città di Castello tower.

spondence of the «Canal Grande» and continues settling even the year later whilst the others stop.

The reason was traced to the hydraulic phenomenon of heaving. Along the shore, in fact, the soil profile is made up of a sequence of cohesive layers followed by granular ones: the top of the sandy layer is located at - 6.3 m under the mean sea level. The restoring of the shore walls had required the use of Larssen sheet piling, confining the channel zone in front of the building, and the pumping of water out and then excavating in order to reach the base of the shore wall. The water pressure in the sandy layers, deeper than the sheet piling, was kept undisturbed while the excess pore pressure due to water flow concentrated in clayey layers, thus making the effective stress decrease, the equilibrium fail and the structures collapse.

To restore the building a complex series of interventions were scheduled whose aim was to arrest settlement and, in cases where the settlement had been too significant, to raise the building to its previous level. Since settlements were due to changes in soil stress state, the Uretek Deep Injections® was considered suitable to the purpose. It is in fact flexible enough to change schedule in-progress depending on the field results (foundations drillings, comparative penetrometer tests, continuous monitoring).



Fig. 7: Punta della Dogana view (above), map (below).

Further, resin injections can induce swelling quite easily.

Injections were performed in three phases in order to allow excess pore pressure to dissipate. At the same time the disturbance caused by pore pressure increase could be limited by diminishing the grout quantities to be injected and by programming the injection sequence so that the same soil volume would be involved only once in the same moment.

The first phase intervention happened in November 2004. Injections were performed from the foundations base level until - 8.5 m under the mean sea level in correspondence with the façade and until - 5.0 m on the opposite side. Together with local injections a series of «column injections» were performed alongside the shore wall in order to create a sort of retaining structure for the following injections. The second and the third phases were performed the following year and were less invasive. The detailed description is given in Gabassi et al. (2011). The structure response was monitored twice a day from the end of the first phase, during the injections phase, and every 15 days between the two phases. What occurred was a temporary rise in the pressured water inside the injection pipes as a consequence of the resin expansion, especially during the first phase. The pore pressure increase and the consequent dissipation caused settlements to occur but in the following phases the phenomenon decreased and this trend inverted, showing also a small settlement recovery.

Fig. 9 shows the time-displacement curve of the check point «17», close to the SL15



CEDIMENTI NEL TEMPO DELLA RIVA DEL CANAL GRANDE

Fig. 8: Time-settlement curves of several anchorages (x-axis: date, y-axis: settlement in mm).



Fig. 9: Time-displacement measured curve for «point 17» (x-axis: date, y-axis: displacement rates in m).

anchorage, on the «Canal Grande» side. The recovery is evident for each intervention phase.

5 Double injections technology

5.1 Chapelle Saint Nicodème in France

There are cases in which the soil improvement must be accompanied by a wall restoring because the structure is heavily damaged. This was the case of Chapelle Saint Nicodème in France (Fig. 10).

It is located in Canton of Baud, in Brittany and its origins date back to the French Renaissance. It was built between 1520 and 1539 by the architect J. Le Layec.

From an architectural point of view the chapel forms a Latin cross. The nave is 31 m long and 7 m wide and belongs to a period of stylistic transition, since the shape is inspired by medieval architectures whilst decorations are closer to the Renaissance repertoire. The main tower reaches a height of 50 m and its rectangular base is 11 m wide and 20 m long.

In 1914 cracks due to problems in the tower foundations were detected. A geotechnical survey carried out in 1926 revealed that the ground was constituted mainly by shale which was loose and sensitive to moisture and this is probably the reason for the instability of the tower. Between 1927 and 1930 low-pressure concrete injections were performed in order to consolidate the subsoil. In 1972, however, an inclination of the chapel towards the south was detected (Fig. 11). Moreover, during the latest survey, cracks due to water vapor and to an incorrect water canalisation were also detected. Because of the critical situation of the building, two types of intervention were planned: soil improvement and wall restoring, both by means of resin injections. The first type is the previously described Uretek Deep Injections® whilst the latter is called Uretek Walls Restoring[®] and consists in injections whose aim is to fill voids in joints between wall



blocks with bender.

Fig. 10: Chapelle Saint Nicodème in France.

The procedure consists in drilling the damaged wall, inserting injection pipes and injecting the IDRO CP 200 resin, which expands thus filling every cavity in the wall. Its mechanical properties are quite similar to mortar once solidified: when injected it is liquid, then in 60 seconds it solidifies, expands and reaches its final consistency. The intervention was performed in two phases: in April and May 2009 and in October

es: In April and May 2009 and in October 2009. At the beginning and at the end, pressiometer tests were performed to compare soil resistance before and after the intervention and verify the injections performance. Results are given in Tab. 2 and show a significant improvement in soil properties.



Fig. 11: The Chapelle Saint Nicodème leaning tower.

Before (2003)	After (2009)			
17.8 < EM < 88.2 MPa	21.8 < EM < 136 MPa			
1.96 < PI* < 4.01 MPa	2.96 < PI* < 5.94 MPa			

Tab. 2: Pressiometer values at Chapelle Saint Nicodème before and after intervention (EM: Pressiometer Modulus, Pl*: Limit Pressure).

6 Long term monitoring

6.1 A 19th century building in Geneva

The following case shows the importance of continuous monitoring of crack width with time in order to ensure crack stabilization.

The building subject to intervention in Geneva (Fig. 12) was affected by very long and deep cracks worsening over time. It is a residential complex constituted by nine independent parts, whose origins date back to 1828. It was built on the foundations of the city's old fortification walls. Only one side of the building, however, lays on the foundation walls, while the other side, instead, lays on an old replenishment layer. This is the cause for the cracks.

Visible differential settlements had already occurred at the time of construction, since the side laying directly on the soil started settling immediately. The first measurements go back to 1976 and now the maximum differential settlement between the two sides reaches 20 cm, while the rate of settlement is eight times faster than the initial one. The subsoil was also found to be constituted by several cavities, as detected during geotechnical preliminary surveys (Fig. 13).

All this evidence, together with the strict restriction on entering the building's base-



Fig. 12: The building subject to intervention in Geneva.



Fig. 13: Cavities in Geneva subsoil.

ment, led to the decision of using the Uretek Deep Injections® technology. The injections were performed at different levels for an extension of 280 m long in order to fill the voids and, as it is usual, to improve the soil mechanical properties.

In Fig. 14 the settlement trend is plotted over

time in correspondence to fixed control points. It is clear that the settlement stabilized after the injection procedure. The injections cause a slight localized settlement due to the procedure itself, but the graph shows that the settlements which were increasing over time prior to the intervention have almost stopped.

After the intervention a monitoring system called Easy Crack Monitor System® was installed in order to check the crack stabilization. The system allows for the automated and continuous reading of any relative displacement between two lips of a lesion and/or two buildings and/or two structural elements, along two orthogonal directions. It is composed of one or more reading devices in correspondence of the lesions to be monitored and by a central acquisition and transmission of data (Fig. 15). The reading device, rigidly connected to the masonry, detects the relative displacement



Fig. 14: Above: time-settlement curves of several anchorages. Below: enlargement of the measurements just before and after intervention.

between two stiff portions along two orthogonal directions at regular time intervals. The reading of the movements is made at the same point for both directions, with a precision not greater than 0.5 mm. Each system is also equipped with a temperature sensor. The control unit has the function of collecting data from several reading devices and to transmit them by means of GPRS protocol, at regular intervals, to a server for storage and display.

The monitoring results are shown in Fig. 16. The cracks stabilized since no more displacements occur along the X or Y directions, even when the temperature varied.



Fig. 15: Easy Crack Monitor System®.



Fig. 16: Time- displacement-temperature curves for two cracks (in X and Y directions).

Two goals were thus reached by performing the injections technique.

7 Conclusions

The overall description of five case histories has allowed for the description of the main intervention phases which have to be performed when dealing with the restoring of historic buildings or monuments.

Cracks are often due to the factors differential settlements caused by excessive applied loads or by low mechanical soil properties.

Ground improving interventions with expansion resin injections, such as the Uretek Deep Injections® technology, allow for the compacting of the ground and filling of the voids underneath a foundation in a non-invasive manner.

Preliminary survey is thus fundamental in order to trace the soil profile and its mechanical properties as well as to know foundation geometry in detail, as described in Palatium Vetus case.

The design must be as accurate as possible, therefore, in particular cases, a FEM analysis must be performed to best model the soil and structure response before on-field intervention. This strategy was applied in the case of the Città di Castello tower.

In the case of the Punta della Dogana building, in Venice, an accurate intervention schedule was planned both in advance as well as during the intervention itself to allow pore pressure to dissipate between one injection phase and the next.

If the building is seriously damaged, the walls, too, have to be restored, as described in the case of the Chapelle Saint Nicodème in France.

After each intervention a further geotechnical survey must be performed to ensure the effective performance of the injections, as was done in each of the case histories presented.

It is also beneficial to regularly monitor crack width after the intervention in order

to verify that they do not move any more, as described in the case of the old building in Geneva in which the Easy Crack Monitor System® was used.

Accurately performing all these phases ensures the best performance of the restoring intervention, as the case histories presented here have proven.

References

- Dei Svaldi, A., Favaretti, M., Pasquetto, A. & Vinco, G. 2005: Analytical modelling of the soil improvement by injections of high expansion pressure resin. In 6th International Conference on Ground Improvement Techniques; Congress proceedings, Coimbra, 18–19 July 2005, 577–584.
- Favaretti, M., Germanino, G., Pasquetto, A. & Vinco, G. 2004: Interventi di consolidamento dei terreni di fondazione di una torre campanaria con iniezioni di resina ad alta pressione d'espansione. In XXII Convegno Nazionale di Geotecnica; Congress proceedings, Palermo, 22–24 October 2004, 357–364. Bologna, Pàtron.
- Gabassi, M., Pasquetto, A., Vinco, G. & Mansueto, F. 2010: 3D FEM analysis of soil improving resin injections underneath a mediaeval tower in Italy. Atti 7th European Conference on Numerical Methods in Geotechnical Engineering NUMGE 2010, Trondheim, 827–832.
- Gabassi, M., Pasquetto, A., Vinco, G. & Masella, A. 2011: Consolidamento del terreno di fondazione del palazzo di Punta della Dogana in Venezia realizzato con iniezioni di resina poliuretanica. In XXIV Convegno Nazionale di Geotecnica; Congress proceedings, Naples, 22–24 June 2011, 457–463. Bologna, Pàtron.
- Pasquetto, A., Gabassi, M., Vinco, G. & Massone, G. 2011: Adeguamento della capacità portante del terreno di fondazione di «Palatium Vetus» ad Alessandria con iniezioni di resina espandente. In XXIV Convegno Nazionale di Geotecnica; Congress proceedings, Naples, 22–24 June 2011, 525–530. Bologna, Pàtron.

